VILLAGE OF GURNEE

PLAN COMMISSION

and

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING held October 28, 1998 7:00 PM

GURNEE MUNICIPAL BUILDING

325 North O'Plaine Road

Gurnee, Illinois

1	PLAN COMMISSION:
2	DONALD RUDNY, Chairman
3	JIM SULA
4	BILL SMITH
5	LYLE FOSTER
б	BRYAN WINTER

7	KRISTINA KOVARIK
8	
9	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS:
10	TOM HOOD, Chairman
11	BILL FINN
12	ED CLARK
13	BOB McDOWELL
14	
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
17	JON WILDENBERG
18	TRACY VELKOVER
19	BARBARA SWANSON
20	AL MAIDEN
21	
22	Reported by: SANDRA K. SMITH, CSR, RPR
23	CSR License No. 084-003104
24	
	3
1	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: The Village of Gurnee
2	Joint Plan Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals
3	meeting will now come to order.
4	Can we have the roll call of the
5	Plan Commission, please.
б	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
7	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Is somebody calling it
8	here?
9	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
10	MR. SULA: Present.

11 MS. VELKOVER: Fos

12 MR. FOSTER: Here.

13 MS. VELKOVER: Smith.

- 14 MR. SMITH: Here.
- 15 MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
- 16 MR. WINTER: Here.
- 17 MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
- 18 MS. KOVARIK: Here.
- 19 MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.

20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Here. Can we have the

21 roll call on the Zoning Board of Appeals.

22 MS.	VELKOVER:	McDowell.
--------	-----------	-----------

- 23 MR. McDOWELL: Here.
- 24 MS. VELKOVER: Clark.

4

- 1 MR. CLARK: Here.
- 2 MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
- 3 MR. FINN: Here.
- 4 MS. VELKOVER: Hood.
- 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Here.

6 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: First we have the 7 approval of some minutes. First, the approval of 8 the September 9th, 1998 Joint Plan Commission and 9 Zoning Board of Appeals minutes. 10 Any additions or corrections? 11 (No response.)

12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: If not, I'll entertain13 a motion to accept them as presented from the Plan

14 Commission. 15 MR. SMITH: So moved. MR. WINTER: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Motion and a second. 17 18 All those in favor say aye and those opposed no on the roll call. 19 MS. VELKOVER: Sula. 20 MR. SULA: Abstain. 21 22 MS. VELKOVER: Foster. MR. FOSTER: Aye. 23 24 MS. VELKOVER: Smith. 5 1 MR. SMITH: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Winter. 2 MR. WINTER: Aye. 3 MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik. 4 MS. KOVARIK: Here. Aye. 5 б MS. VELKOVER: Rudny. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries. 7 Tom, do you want to handle the --8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. In reference to 9 the September 9, 1998 minutes, is there a motion to 10 approve those minutes? 11 12 MR. McDOWELL: Motion to approve, yes. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Second? 13 MR. FINN: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor say 15 16 aye. 17 ("Aye" responses.)

18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Next is the
21	approval of the September 30th, 1998 Joint Plan
22	Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals minutes.
23	Any additions or corrections?
24	(No response.)
1	6 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Do I have a motion to
2	accept them?
3	MR. SULA: So moved.
4	MR. WINTER: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: A motion and a second.
6	All those in favor say aye in the roll call and
7	
	those opposed nay. Roll call, please.
8	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
9	MR. SULA: Aye.
10	MS. VELKOVER: Foster.
11	MR. FOSTER: Aye.
12	MS. VELKOVER: Smith.
13	MR. SMITH: Aye.
14	MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
15	MR. WINTER: Aye.
16	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
17	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
18	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
19	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries.
20	Tom

20 Tom.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: As to the September
30th, 1998 minutes is there a motion to approve?
MR. CLARK: Only one person was there.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, Bill is that one

7

1 person. 2 MS. SWANSON: Only Bill Finn was present 3 from the Zoning Board, but we'll need to at some 4 point vote on these so those of you that have 5 reviewed them you can vote on them because otherwise we'll never have more than one person. б CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Just have Bill vote. 7 What do you think? 8 9 MR. CLARK: Make a motion, Bill. We'll 10 help you out. 11 MR. FINN: Make a motion. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second? 12 13 MR. CLARK: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor say 15 aye. ("Aye" responses.) 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All opposed? 17 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. The next is just 20 the Plan Commission minutes of the October 7th, 1998 Plan Commission meeting. 21 2.2 Again, any additions or corrections? 23 24 (No response.)

1 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I'll entertain a motion to accept them. 2 3 MR. SMITH: So moved. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I have a motion. 4 MR. WINTER: Second. 5 б CHAIRMAN RUDNY: All those in favor of 7 the motion say aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll call, please. 8 9 MS. VELKOVER: Sula. MR. SULA: Abstain. 10 11 MS. VELKOVER: Foster. 12 MR. FOSTER: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Smith. 13 MR. SMITH: Aye. 14 MS. VELKOVER: Winter. 15 MR. WINTER: Aye. 16 17 MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik. MS. KOVARIK: Aye. 18 19 MS. VELKOVER: Rudny. 20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries. 21 And then you get the next three, Tom. CHAIRMAN HOOD: For the June 24th, 1998 22 23 minutes is there a motion to approve those minutes? MR. McDOWELL: I make a motion to 24 9

1 approve.

2

MR. FINN: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And would you please 4 take a roll call in reference to those minutes. This is the June 24th, 1998 minutes. 5 MS. VELKOVER: McDowell. 6 7 MR. McDOWELL: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Clark. 8 MR. CLARK: Abstain. 9 10 MS. VELKOVER: Finn. MR. FINN: Aye. 11 12 MS. VELKOVER: Hood. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Abstain. 13 Next in reference as to the July 14 29th, 1998 minutes. Is there a motion in reference 15 to those minutes? 16 MR. FINN: I make a motion to approve. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And we have that same situation where, Bill, you were at these meetings. 19 20 And there's not going to be a second but we'll take a roll call vote for the July 29th, 1998 minutes. 21 22 MS. VELKOVER: McDowell. 23 MR. McDOWELL: Aye. 24 MS. VELKOVER: Clark. 10 1 MR. CLARK: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Finn. 2 3 MR. FINN: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Hood. 4

5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye.

б The last minutes, August 12th, 7 1998. Is there a motion in reference to that? MR. McDOWELL: I'll make a motion. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second? 9 10 MR. CLARK: I'll second that. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And we'll take a roll 12 call vote on the August 12th, 1998 minutes. 13 MS. VELKOVER: McDowell. MR. McDOWELL: Aye. 14 MS. VELKOVER: Clark. 15 MR. CLARK: Aye. 16 17 MS. VELKOVER: Finn. 18 MR. FINN: Aye. 19 MS. VELKOVER: Hood. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries. 21 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Just to let 22 everyone know, this is a public hearing on proposed amendments to the Gurnee Zoning Ordinance which 23 24 we're going to cover next. 11 I know most of you are probably 1 interested in the village center matter which is E. 2 But don't get too excited, I think A through D are 3 4 going to go fairly quickly so we should be reaching 5 that fairly soon. So let's go into the public hearing б 7 on the proposed amendments to the Gurnee Zoning Ordinance. 8

A is the special use section of

10 major/minor amendments. And we're adding language 11 to the Village Zoning Ordinance that may be used to 12 administer major and minor changes to special uses. So I don't know if Jon or Tracy, are you going to 13 kind of give us a rundown? Butch? 14 15 MR. MAIDEN: I'll maybe kick this one off. 16 17 I think as the Plan Commission is well aware of they've had some meetings going until 18 19 after midnight so we're trying to get some changes in the Ordinance so that if there are minor matters 20 of actions that they've already reviewed but there 21 22 have been some adjustments we have opportunities 23 for the Village Board to look at those minor 24 changes and perhaps act on them without going back 121 through a public hearing and continuing on if 2 they're fairly minor matters. The special use section of the 3

Ordinance does have a current provision that says here are the conditions and guarantees that are going along with a particular special use. What we're suggesting is adding two subsections to that section to define a major change and a minor change.

10 The major change is if there's any 11 change in the use that we have not identified 12 whatever the previous hearing was the particular 13 use, say it's for a school or a church or a daycare center, if the use changes that's a major change 14 and you have to go back for a hearing. 15 But if the use does not change and 16 17 let's say you were doing some particular function 18 in the church or in the school and all they're 19 doing is making a minor change in how it operates 20 but the use is still the same, they could have the opportunity to consider that a minor change again 21

23 We also say that if it does not 24 change the site or structure provisions that are

subject to review of the Village Board.

22

13

1 listed in the district. If the district 2 specifically lists a front yard setback, for example, of a certain distance -- in many of the 3 special uses you'll see that we have site plans 4 5 that may have the buildings on an angle and you may 6 find that like a point of the building would be close to the front yard setback but the building 7 may be at an angle and actually have a greater 8 9 setback from the majority of the proposed use. 10 And there may have been a site plan 11 that was prepared but not a set survey. When we 12 finally come back for actual building permits and they actually get a survey we may find it changes 13 14 by a few inches or a foot. If that happens, as long as it doesn't violate the standard in the 15 Ordinance of site and structure provisions they 16

17 should be able to consider that a minor change and 18 not have to go back to full hearing and just let it 19 go up to the Village Board. 20 We may -- as we start processing 21 additional special uses in the future you may want 22 to look at this as a Board and as you view those and put the conditions on these uses you may want 23 24 to look at the particular property and although

14

1 they may have a site plan that says 25 feet, you 2 know, point to point you may want to look at it and 3 identify as part of your conditions that when they 4 do the survey if they find it within, you know, 20 5 feet or 18, 19, whatever the particular standard б that you find is appropriate for that specific special use you may want to identify that as 7 considered a potential minor change when they come 8 9 back for an actual building permit. So we will be 10 doing some additional changes I think in Zoning Administration. 11

12 Anything other than that would be 13 considered a major change. So that if an individual would come to a public hearing and said 14 they found out it was a proposed school and 15 suddenly it's changed from a school to totally a 16 17 church, that is a major change and that would have to go back through another public hearing. 18 19

But this is the intent is to try to

20	add some flexibility so that you would have the
21	opportunity to do minor changes.
22	This still would require that it be
23	on the agenda for a Village Board meeting and it
24	would still require that it would have to happen at
	15
1	a public meeting but just not a public hearing.
2	We do have these provisions already
3	in the C/S-3 zone and the village center, we also
4	have these provisions in the planned unit
5	development section. So it's not a new concept,
6	it's just trying to expand it into the special use
7	section.
8	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Anybody have any
9	questions?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any questions on the
12	wording?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: If not, I'll entertain
15	a motion to forward a favorable recommendation to
16	the Village Board on
17	MR. MAIDEN: You may want to open up
18	each section to public comment.
19	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Right, I know.
20	The state of the second st
20	Exactly. This is a public hearing so we will open
20	the floor to the public.

24

could stand first and be sworn in by the Village

Attorney. There doesn't appear to be anyone. 1 2 Does anyone wish to make a comment? (No response.) 3 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: So we'll close the 4 5 floor to the public on that matter. And again, I'll entertain a motion for a favorable б recommendation. This would be from the Plan 7 Commission side now. 8 MR. WINTER: So moved. 9 10 MR. SMITH: I'll second. 11 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Is that Mr. Sula? Who made the motion? Mr. Winter made the motion and 12 the second was Mr. Smith. Motion and a second. 13 We have some comments or some 14 discussion here from the Village Attorney. 15 MS. SWANSON: These are just 16 clarifications as long as you're going to approve 17 the wording. 18 19 Paragraph B, minor changes, where 20 it talks about the office of the zoning administration should that be administrator? 21 22 MR. MAIDEN: Yes. MS. SWANSON: And then it shall be 23 24 forwarded, f-o-r-w-a-r-d-e-d.

MR. MAIDEN: Yes.

1

17

2 MS. SWANSON: And then the next 3 paragraph toward the end if the Village Board determines just add an S at the end of that. If 4 5 the Village Board determines the request should we say not a minimum change or are we using minimum б 7 and minor? 8 MR. MAIDEN: Minor change. 9 MS. SWANSON: So change minimum to minor. 10 11 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: So with those changes all those in favor of the motion signify by saying 12 aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll 13 call, please. 14 MS. VELKOVER: Sula. 15 16 MR. SULA: Aye. 17 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: There was something else? We need to change one other thing. And 18 19 that's in the last paragraph it should be Village Board. Board should be b-o-a-r-d. It's spelled 20 21 b-r-o-a-d. 22 MS. VELKOVER: Sula. MR. McDOWELL: What did you have in 23 24 mind? 18 1 MS. KOVARIK: The wonders of spell 2 check. 3 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: You just use spell 4 check, right?

5	MR. MAIDEN: Probably, yes. I probably
б	had it spelled wrong and they probably changed it
7	to that.
8	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. So with that
9	change
10	MR. SULA: I think we ought to open it
11	for discussion on the change.
12	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. All those in
13	favor of the motion signify by saying aye in the
14	roll call; those opposed nay. Roll call, please.
15	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
16	MR. SULA: Aye.
17	MS. VELKOVER: Foster.
18	MR. FOSTER: Aye.
19	MS. VELKOVER: Smith.
20	MR. SMITH: Aye.
21	MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
22	MR. WINTER: Aye.
23	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
24	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
	19
1	
1	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
2	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
3	and it is so ordered. Tom, do you want to do
4	the
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a motion to
6	favorably approve the words?
7	MR. McDOWELL: I move that we accept it.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second?

9	MR. FINN: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been seconded.
11	Roll call, please.
12	MS. VELKOVER: McDowell.
13	MR. McDOWELL: Aye.
14	MS. VELKOVER: Clark.
15	MR. CLARK: Aye.
16	MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
17	MR. FINN: Aye.
18	MS. VELKOVER: Hood.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries.
20	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. The second
21	matter now. The second change is, B, daycare
22	centers and commercial districts.
23	Remove from the C/B-1 Neighborhood
24	Business District and the C/B-2 Community Business
	20
1	District reference to childcare centers, children's
2	day schools, daycare centers, day nurseries and
3	nursery schools as a permitted use and insert as a
4	special use in both districts.
5	Now again, this is a public hearing
б	so if anyone wishes to make a comment or ask a
7	question on this particular matter if you could
8	stand and be sworn in by the Village Attorney.
9	Okay. It doesn't appear that anyone wishes that.
10	So, Butch, are you going to take us
11	through this one, too?

12 MS. VELKOVER: I think I'm going to. MR. MAIDEN: I think Tracy is going to. 13 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Tracy. 14 MS. VELKOVER: As you said, this 15 16 addresses daycare centers in our commercial 17 district. 18 Daycare centers are allowed as 19 permitted uses in both the C/B-1 Neighborhood Commercial District and the C/B-2 Community 20 Business District. 21 In all of our other remaining 22 23 zoning districts daycare centers require a special 24 use permit. What this text amendment is proposing 21 1 is to take daycare centers from a permitted 2 category in the commercial zoning district and put them into a special use category. 3 4 That would give the Plan Commission 5 the ability to review a request for daycare centers in any commercial district at a public hearing. 6 During the public hearing it would give you the 7 8 ability to review some of the unique 9 characteristics that are associated with daycare 10 centers. 11 Typically they have very strange traffic patterns. They have high peak traffic 12 13 during the mornings and during the afternoon pickups. They also have in our Ordinance a 14 requirement for 150 square feet of outdoor play 15

16 area per child.

17 In any of our residential zoning 18 districts on a large lot or on a standalone commercial parcel that requirement for 150 square 19 20 feet of outdoor play area per child can be met. 21 But a lot of our commercial districts have multiple commercial users within them and it's very 22 23 difficult for a daycare center to provide that 150 24 square feet of outdoor play area per child.

22

So moving the daycare centers from 1 2 a permitted use category to a special use category 3 is something that I think that the staff would like to see because it would give us the Village the 4 5 ability to review the unique characteristics that are associated with these types of uses. 6 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Do we have any 8 questions from either the Plan Commission or Zoning Board of Appeals? Ms. Kovarik. 9 MS. KOVARIK: The heading health, 10 medical and care facilities. 11 12 What happens when we strike health 13 and medical? What's happening to that? Does that remain as a permitted or does that also move into 14 special use? Or is there going to be a new heading 15 under the permitted that just says health and 16 medical facilities? 17 18

MS. VELKOVER: I believe there are other

uses underneath that category in both the C/B-1 and
the C/B-2 so that would remain.
MS. KOVARIK: As a permitted, all right.
When a preschool is part of a

23 church, would this encompass that with the church 24 or is that a separate issue?

23

1 MS. VELKOVER: Typically when a 2 preschool is accessory to a church it's a permitted 3 use accessory to the church so it does not require a public hearing or a special use permit. 4 Typically churches are in our 5 residential zoning districts. You may be thinking 6 of the daycare center that's over at Lawson and 7 Grand Avenue in the C/B-1 Commercial Zoning 8 9 District. And in that case that was a planned unit development that specifically indicated that 10 11 churches and daycare centers required a special use 12 permit and that's the reason that went through a 13 public hearing process. 14 MS. KOVARIK: So we're not making it harder for a church to operate a --15 MS. VELKOVER: No, they would still be 16 17 the same. 18 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any other questions? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: There is one correction. Let's see, it would be eight, similar 21 22 and compatible uses to those allowed as permitted

23 in those shall be made and should be in accordance 24 rather than according. The same thing in eight at 24 1 the bottom as well, the special uses section. 2 Okay. I'd like to open the floor 3 to the public now. Does anyone have a question or 4 comment? 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN RUDNY: If not, the floor is 6 closed to the public and I'll entertain a motion 7 for a favorable recommendation on Section B. 8 9 MR. SULA: So moved. 10 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Motion by Mr. Sula. MR. WINTER: Second. 11 12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Seconded by Mr. Winter. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying 13 aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll 14 15 call, please. MS. VELKOVER: Sula. 16 MR. SULA: Aye. 17 18 MS. VELKOVER: Foster. 19 MR. FOSTER: Aye. 20 MS. VELKOVER: Smith. 21 MR. SMITH: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Winter. 22 23 MR. WINTER: Aye. MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik. 24

1	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
2	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
3	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
4	and it is so ordered.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The Zoning Board of
6	Appeals, is there a motion in reference to this
7	particular amendment?
8	MR. McDOWELL: I make a favorable
9	motion.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second to
11	that motion?
12	MR. FINN: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Second. Roll call,
14	please.
15	MS. VELKOVER: McDowell.
16	MR. McDOWELL: Aye.
17	MS. VELKOVER: Clark.
18	MR. CLARK: Aye.
19	MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
20	MR. FINN: Aye.
21	MS. VELKOVER: Hood.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries.
23	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Next, proposed
24	amendment C, business trucks in residential zoning
	26
1	districts.
1 2	Add language to the Zoning
3	Ordinance that governs the parking, storage of

4 commercial vehicles and equipment in residential zoning districts. 5 Again, if there's anyone from the 6 public who wishes to make a comment on this or ask 7 a question they need to stand now and be sworn in 8 9 by the Village Attorney. There seems to be none 10 so -- Jon, you're going to take this one. Okay. 11 MR. WILDENBERG: Okay. We had talked 12 about trying to expand and clarify on our parking 13 requirements or restrictions for trucks and commercial vehicles in residential districts. 14 Each one of our six residential 15 16 districts has a standard clause that deals with 17 this issue. Over the years since 1980 we've had a 18 few other circumstances pop up that we'd like to 19 try to address and maybe clarify a little better in 20 the proposed text amendment. We're suggesting that trucks and 21 22 commercial vehicles should not be stored outside, they need to be in an enclosed garage or other 23 permitted structure and that we would allow up to 24

27

one small pickup truck, van or sport utility
 vehicle of a size that's typically associated with
 residentially generated transportation needs.
 And if that vehicle happens to have
 advertising on it it would be allowed to be stored
 outside but you could only have one of those
 outside on your driveway.

8 And we further go on to say that 9 commercial contractors' equipment is also 10 specifically not allowed to be stored outdoors on 11 the lot. And that includes equipment that happens to also be owned by the owner or the resident of 12 13 the property in question. And we also indicate that no truck 14 15 or commercial vehicle or equipment can extend over 16 or hang over a public right-of-way. And we do 17 mention the exemption for delivery or service vehicles that are in the area on a temporary basis 18 to drop something off or to repair something at a 19 20 home. 21 So that is kind of the gist of it. 22 I believe that this language will help us address 23 some of the other situations that have come up in town and help us maybe a little bit more readily 24 28 1 explain to residents when they ask us what can I do and what can I not do on the property, I think it 2 will help to clarify that a little bit. 3 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any questions? 4 5 MR. McDOWELL: My understanding is if an individual has a business and they have one van or б truck he is allowed to park that at his house in 7 the driveway? 8 MR. WILDENBERG: Yes, if it's of a size 9 10 that you'd normally find in a residential --

MR. McDOWELL: Standard van, standard 11 12 pickup. MR. WILDENBERG: Right. And he can 13 always keep that in his garage, too. 14 15 MR. McDOWELL: But it doesn't say that 16 here. It says he can park it outside in the 17 driveway. 18 MR. WILDENBERG: Yes, he can. 19 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any other questions? MR. WINTER: Jon, I know we went through 20 21 all these various workshops. 22 But as far as enforcement, is 23 someone from the Building Department going to issue 24 a citation or do the police issue a citation in

29

1 violation of this?

2 MR. WILDENBERG: The way it usually 3 works is the Building and Zoning Department will go 4 out and either try to talk to the property owner or 5 send the initial letter indicating to them that 6 there's a problem, here is the section that you're 7 violating, and we'll give them so much time to 8 correct it.

9 If that's successful then it stops 10 there. If it's not successful, then the police do 11 have to be called in to write the ticket and then 12 we can take it to court. The Building Department 13 doesn't have the ability to do that right now. 14 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any other questions?

15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: There's one minor
17	correction in the second to the last line. Part of
18	they it should be truck instead of trucks.
19	I'll open the floor to the public
20	now. Is there any questions or comments regarding
21	the proposed amendment C?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. There doesn't
24	appear to be any so the floor is closed to the
	30
1	
1	public and I'll entertain a motion to forward a
2	favorable recommendation.
3	MR. SMITH: So moved.
4	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Motion by Mr. Smith.
5	MR. SULA: Second.
б	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Second by Mr. Sula.
7	All those in favor of the motion signify by saying
8	aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll
9	call, please.
10	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
11	MR. SULA: Aye.
12	MS. VELKOVER: Foster.
13	MR. FOSTER: Aye.
14	MS. VELKOVER: Smith.
15	MR. SMITH: Aye.
16	MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
17	MR. WINTER: Aye.

18	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
19	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
20	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
21	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
22	and it is so ordered.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The Zoning Board of
24	Appeals in reference to the business trucks in the
	31
1	residential zoning district amendment, is there a
2	motion in reference to this amendment?
3	MR. McDOWELL: I move a favorable
4	recommendation.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: There's a movement for a
6	favorable. Is there a second to that?
7	MR. FINN: I'll second it.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Second. Can we have the
9	roll call, please.
10	MS. VELKOVER: McDowell.
11	MR. McDOWELL: Aye.
12	MS. VELKOVER: Clark.
13	MR. CLARK: Aye.
14	MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
15	MR. FINN: Aye.
16	MS. VELKOVER: Hood.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries.
18	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Next matter is
19	the proposed amendment E, boat and recreational
20	vehicle parking in residential zoning districts.
21	Add language to the Zoning

22 Ordinance that governs the parking, storage of 23 recreational vehicles and boats in residential 24 zoning districts.

1 Again, anyone from the public who 2 wishes to speak on this should stand to be sworn 3 in. Okay. There doesn't appear to be any. So, Jon, are you going to handle that, too? 4 5 MR. WILDENBERG: Again, currently we do allow boats and RVs to be parked on properties, 6 7 they're just permitted to be there. 8 Over the years we've had again 9 other issues come up associated with that. Can it 10 just be parked directly on the grass, can they 11 overhang the right-of-way or overhang the sidewalk. 12And, you know, we've told people from a common sense standpoint that no, you really shouldn't do 13 14 that and that that's not permitted.

And what we'd like to do is I think get that into some pretty exact wording and have it in the Ordinance to back it up. The language that you see before you really just kind of enforces what we've been trying to apply around town over the years.

21 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Any questions or22 comments on that one? Mr. Sula.

23 MR. SULA: What is the definition of all 24 weather service?

1	MR. WILDENBERG: It can vary with the
2	type of craft that you're looking at.
3	For the very heavy ones we say you
4	have to have at least a minimum of gravel or
5	asphalt or concrete. There are some lighter boats.
6	I can think of a sailboat, for instance, that we
7	would allow them to go on pavers because it's not
8	going to cause a whole lot of depression in the
9	ground and so forth.
10	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Anything else?
11	MR. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Yes, Mr. Foster.
13	MR. FOSTER: Is there a common
14	definition of what a recreational vehicle is?
15	MR. WILDENBERG: Let me check the
16	definition section. We do have a definition in the
17	front of the Zoning Ordinance.
18	Do you want me to go through it?
19	MR. FOSTER: If it's less than 75 words.
20	MR. WILDENBERG: Shouldn't be too bad.
21	Recreational vehicle. Any unit
22	designed primarily for living or sleeping purposes
23	equipped with wheels or placed upon a wheeled
24	device for the purpose of transporting from place
	⊃ <i>4</i>
1	34
1	

1 to place.

2

This term shall include but not be

3 limited to camping trailers, campers, mobile homes, tent trailers, motor coaches, tent campers and 4 shall also include those wheeled devices upon which 5 they are placed. б 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Any other 8 questions? Mr. Sula. 9 MR. SULA: How would something like 10 snowmobiles be considered under this Ordinance? MR. WILDENBERG: We would consider it as 11 a trailered device much like a boat just from a 12 practical standpoint. 13 14 MR. SULA: Do we need to state that in 15 here or is it defined some place else? 16 MR. WILDENBERG: I don't think that's 17 really defined anywhere. 18 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: What if we were to add in there boats and recreational vehicles, wouldn't 19 we want -- really want to have this apply to any 20 21 kind of a trailer? MR. FOSTER: That's why I asked the 22 question because I've seen trailers with a sports 23 24 car so. 35 1 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: You could have it on a 2 trailer. MR. WILDENBERG: Add that to the heading 3 4 and --CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Do you think that that 5 would be acceptable wording then if we just say б

7 boats, trailers and recreational vehicles? MR. WILDENBERG: I would think that 8 would be able to handle the snowmobile situation 9 and other things that apply, ATVs. 10 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Put that in each of the 11 lines. 12 MR. WILDENBERG: Right. 13 14 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Any other questions? 15 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN RUDNY: So do we have -- I'll 17 entertain a motion for a favorable recommendation 18 from the Plan Commission. 19 20 MR. FOSTER: So moved with the addition of the word trailer in the appropriate places. 21 22 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Motion by Mr. Foster. Second? 23 24 MR. SULA: Second. 36 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Second by Mr. Sula. 1 2 All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll 3 4 call, please. MS. VELKOVER: Sula. 5 MR. SULA: Aye. б MS. VELKOVER: Foster. 7 MR. FOSTER: Aye. 8 9 MS. VELKOVER: Smith.

10	MR. SMITH: Aye.
11	MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
12	MR. WINTER: Aye.
13	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
14	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
15	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
16	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
17	and it is so ordered.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The boat and
19	recreational vehicle parking in residential zoning
20	districts. For the Zoning Board of Appeals, is
21	there a motion in reference to the statement?
22	MR. McDOWELL: I'll make a motion for a
23	favorable recommendation.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second to
	37
1	that motion?
2	MR. CLARK: I'll second it.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Clark seconds. Roll
4	call, please.
5	MS. VELKOVER: McDowell.
6	MR. McDOWELL: Aye.
7	MS. VELKOVER: Clark.
8	MR. CLARK: Aye.
9	MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
10	
10	MR. FINN: Aye.
	MS. VELKOVER: Hood. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries.
12	
13	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. The next

```
14
        proposed amendment, E, is what you've all been
15
        waiting for I think, the Village Center Overlay
16
        District.
17
                        Add language to the Village Zoning
        Ordinance regarding a Village Center Overlay
18
19
        District that pertains to commercially zoned
        parcels at the northwest and northeast corners of
20
21
        O'Plaine Road and Washington Street.
22
                         Now even if you're not sure, if
23
        you think you'd like to make a comment or ask a
        question I ask you to stand now and be sworn in by
24
                                                          38
        the Village Attorney on this matter.
1
 2
                        Are you the spokesperson, is
 3
        that --
 4
                             (Witness sworn.)
                   CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. You're going to
 5
 б
        take us through this?
                   MR. LEE SMITH: I am going to take you
 7
        through this.
8
9
                        For the last four or five, six
10
        months we've been discussing this concept of the
11
        overlay district in numerous workshops with the
        Plan Commission and the Zoning Board so I won't go
12
        through all the aspects of the Ordinance that's
13
14
        presented in front of me, but I will highlight some
15
        of them.
16
                        The overlay district concept is an
```

17 outgrowth of the comprehensive plan. In the comprehensive plan what was identified was that the 18 O'Plaine Road corridor and extending to the north 19 where the Village center is along Grand Avenue and 20 21 extending south to Washington Street was the 22 traditional Village center area. 23 And the goals that were set forth 24 in the comprehensive plan were to preserve the

39

character of that area, to provide for a mix of
 uses, provide for pedestrian access and connections
 within this area.

As a result of the course of the discussions that have taken place and considerations of a wide variety of issues the area that has been considered for this overlay district has been narrowed.

9 The only area that's currently 10 being considered for the overlay district by the 11 Plan Commission in the Ordinance and for the 12 benefit of everyone are the two commercial parcels 13 that are zoned C/B-2 at Washington Street on the 14 east and west sides of O'Plaine Road.

There are no other areas that are presently in front of you being considered for the designation as to the -- it's now called the C/B-2A Village Center Overlay District. So we've narrowed the focus to existing commercial parcels that have C/B-2 zoning. And what the Ordinance now provides for is really a modification and a set of extra requirements that would be really applicable to the development of a commercial -- commercial uses at

40

these two parcels that have the potential for
providing mixed use residential as well as
commercial use in those areas and including a real
strong orientation towards pedestrian focus,
pedestrian access within these commercial areas,
provisions for landscaping, open space, things of
that nature.

8 As I said, the overlay district is 9 a supplement and an additional set of standards to 10 the underlying zoning. We spent a number of 11 meetings discussing the use lists for the overlay 12 district.

13 And what we have in the use lists that are in front of you was basically taken from 14 the C/B-2 District and we looked at the permitted 15 uses and the special uses and tried to -- tried to 16 tighten them up where uses seemed to be providing 17 18 for the same thing such as radio, TV and appliance stores and electronic components we combined those 19 categories with anachronistic uses such as 20 21 typewriter repair or S&H trading stamp stores, 22 we're recommending that those uses be deleted, 23 they're not being proposed any more.

41

1	similar and compatible use to be applied for if we
2	missed anything along the way.
3	In addition, we have added a very
4	few number of uses to the district and some of
5	those uses relate to let me see here. Cafes and
б	coffee shops, computer sales and service,
7	convenience stores, some things that had not been
8	listed specifically in the C/B-2.
9	The thinking of the Plan Commission
10	and the Zoning Board during our discussions were
11	that these would be appropriate pedestrian scale
12	uses and uses that might be appropriate for this
13	type of district so we've added these.
14	I might note that as the Plan
15	Commission and Zoning Board continue their
16	deliberations of the update of the Zoning Ordinance
17	we're going to start I think down the road you're
18	probably going to start looking at the use list for
19	all of your zoning districts.
20	And when we get to the $C/B-2$ the
21	C/B-1 and the C/B-2 some of the thinking that
22	you've provided for that you some of the debate
23	and decisions that you made with respect to this
24	zoning district, the C/B-2A, might be appropriate
	42

1 for consideration in the C/B-2. So you may be

2 somewhat ahead of the game with respect to the
3 potential for amending the use list in our C/B-2
4 District.

The overlay district provides for 5 additional special use categories that are over and б above the requirements of the C/B-2. Some of these 7 8 are that any use greater than 5,000 square feet 9 would be required to have a special use. Any 24-hour establishment, any use with a drive-thru 10 11 facility, any use that utilizes external speaker 12 systems, any use with only one employee between the 13 hours of ten in the evening and six in the morning, 14 so a convenience type operation 24 hour a day would be covered by that. And any commercial use that 15 had outdoor overnight storage. 16

17 All of these would be uses that may not have been specifically listed within the 18 special use for the overlay district that are --19 20 that provide characteristics that you will look at in the course of approving a special use 21 application or considering a special use 22 23 application if it may be so applied for in this 24 district.

43

We've added provisions for this district for site plan review of any -- essentially any project that comes in with new construction or a major modification to an existing building would require site plan review by the Plan Commission if it's in the C/B-2A District.

б

7 That was requested by the two Boards to provide that extra level of control. 8 If something was -- happened to be a permitted use 9 that met all the requirements it would still come 10 11 through and you would be able to look at that and sign off on that project to see that it's meeting 12 13 the goals that were established, the purpose 14 statements and meeting the purpose statements that 15 are set forth in the overlay district. The site development standards or 16 what you've traditionally called site and structure 17 provisions are modified in the overlay district as 18 compared to the underlying C/B-2. 19 20 In order to try and provide for a 21 greater pedestrian focus what we've done is this recommends that there be no front yard setback 22 23 requirement. In the C/B-2 District there's a 30 24 foot front yard setback so we're trying to push the 44 1 buildings up to the sidewalk to enhance the orientation of the district and so there's no front 2 3 yard requirement. In addition, we're offering two 4 areas of floor area ratio potential. For a single 5 story building a floor area ratio of point two б would be allowed in contrast for a two or three 7 story building a floor area ratio of point 35 would 8

9 be permitted.

10	We think that offering greater
11	intensity of development or the potential for
12	greater intensity of development for a two and
13	three story building would encourage having second
14	floor office or second floor residential. This is
15	a concept that's really new for the Village in any
16	of the zoning districts to provide for the
17	potential for mixed use commercial and residential
18	type of development.
19	And we've attempted to provide for
20	it in this district. In contrast to the C/B-2 $$
21	District, the FARs in the $C/B-2$ are a point eight.
22	Now, I don't think you've probably looked at any
23	planned unit developments or any developments in
24	the C/B-2 recently that were at a point eight so
	45
1	bringing these floor area ratios down is really
2	consistent to the type of development character
3	that you're seeing.
4	And once you've once an
5	applicant has considered parking and stormwater
6	management provisions they're really probably
7	developing in the point two to point three category
8	as well.
9	This is another area where making
10	modifications for the Village Center Overlay
11	District compared to the C/B-2 might lead to some
12	changes when you look at C/B-2 further on in your

13 zoning process.

14 With respect to how you will handle 15 special uses, we took elements from the Village 16 center, the C/S-3 District to the north and provided for the special use standards within this 17 18 overlay district. So we took the language with regard 19 20 to the special use provisions which included the 21 applicant providing an environmental statement for 22 properties within -- modified here -- 160 feet of

23 the property to look at environmental conditions 24 and if there's any relationships that should be

46

1 noted or any environmental considerations that 2 would be impacted by a proposed development that 3 those be accounted for and a plan be -- and some statements be made with regard to how any 4 5 environmental features will be preserved or protected as a result of the development process. б The same is true, we've requested a 7 statement regarding the architecture within 500 8 feet of the C/B-2A District so that the character 9 10 of the development in that surrounding area could be noted and that when buildings are proposed in 11 the district common architectural themes could be 12 used in the design or at least be acknowledged so 13 that there's some unity of development between 14 what's existing, the existing uses in the corridor 15

16 and the potential for future uses at the corners. Finally, I'll get into the 17 standards. We've provided for some additional sign 18 standards that are more restrictive than the sign 19 20 standards for the C/B-2A -- for the C/B-2 District. 21 And those sign standards limit the signs to monument ground signs a maximum of 6 feet 22 23 high. Wall signs not to exceed 75 square feet on 24 building fronts.

47

1 And where -- we have required through the design review and some of the design 2 elements of this Ordinance that where commercial 3 buildings provide customer access both from the 4 5 front of the building and from the rear of the building that there could be a sign on the rear of 6 7 the building but that sign would be limited to a 20 8 square foot wall sign. 9 The other signs that are allowed in 10 this district are projecting signs and there are standards for the control of the size of those 11 12 types of signs. 13 We specifically addressed some 14 prohibited that are not prohibited for all 15 districts but would be prohibited within the C/B-2A District. Those being open tube neon signs, 16

17 advertising signs, and illuminated signs. And 18 illuminated signs are the type of signs that are 19 illuminated externally not illuminated by an 20 external light that points on the sign. So you can 21 light your sign, it's just it's not the type of 22 internal neon sign where you don't see the tubing 23 but it's illuminated internally.

24 There is a set of design guidelines

48

that were provided with this Ordinance and we've discussed them. Some of the -- I'll just go through some of what I think are the highlights of that is that 30 foot building and parking setbacks are required from all adjacent streets.

And within those setback areas 6 7 specifically we reference the provision of 8 landscaping and pedestrian access. And the 9 pedestrian access is a minimum of 12 feet wide so 10 that we're trying to accomplish really creating a good pedestrian orientation in front of the stores 11 12 so that there's opportunities for merchants to put out flowers for display if there's a flower shop, 13 or tables outside a restaurant to create a level of 14 -- a greater level of action and pedestrian 15 movement on the street. 16 17 Site parking, it's required to

provide for bicycle parking facilities within this district. And those would be -- you'll have an opportunity to review those as well as Village staff would.

22

We're discouraging the use of flat

23 roof buildings in the area. There are various24 discussions regarding the type of building

49

materials that can be used and I won't go into
 those details.

Buildings should be designed to 3 provide open space -- buildings and site plans 4 should be designed to provide open space amenities 5 including plazas with street furniture, building б 7 overhangs where a building comes over the sidewalk to provide for covered pedestrian walkways and 8 street furniture to enhance the pedestrian 9 character of the area. 10

I would be happy to answer any questions. That was a brief overview. And if you have anything I would be happy to discuss them.

CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Thanks. I think 14 15 we should point out that overall what we're trying 16 to do here is to create a less commercial character 17 and trying to make it more of a residential -- we can't make it a residential character because it is 18 19 still commercial property but put some residential 20 elements in there kind of to cut down on that more 21 intense commercial atmosphere that you see in a 22 typical commercial development.

We're not trying to open the doorhere for any additional businesses or anything of

1 that nature. We're trying to put more controls on it so that it looks more pedestrian and looks 2 nicer, we have a nicer village center. And that's 3 what we're really trying to attempt here. So --4 5 MR. LEE SMITH: May I add one thing, Don? 6 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Yes, go ahead. 7 8 MR. LEE SMITH: As I read in the paper 9 and there's a proposal for the parcel on the west 10 side to possibly accommodate a post office and a police station in the future. Those uses are 11 12 listed as permitted uses. 13 So we've accommodated the potential 14 for those future government functions within the context of this Ordinance in the use list. 15 16 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I'm sure that was 17 probably going to come up. Do we have any -- Ms. Kovarik. 18 19 MS. KOVARIK: Well, that was my first 20 question. Does the police station and the 21 22 post office have to be pedestrian focused then and 23 the same, you know, the roof design and the signage 24 and the landscaping and parking? Does that have to 51 1 follow this? 2 MR. LEE SMITH: We would hope that they would come in. Now they might not -- there are 3

some other government entities such as the post

5 office are allowed to build their buildings
6 typically with -- typically as they configure them
7 on the site.
8 But we would hope that since we're

9 providing for design guidelines and elements of 10 pedestrian friendly and some elements that would 11 not necessarily change the use or the layout of 12 their buildings that we would hope that they would 13 meet those standards.

MR. WILDENBERG: And I believe if the building itself is over 5,000 square foot in size right now they would be slated to come through a special use process and you'd have an opportunity to review those particulars as well.

19 MS. KOVARIK: So if the post office is 20 10,000 square feet it's going to come through as a 21 special use and we could say we want the design --22 MR. WINTER: But I think -- and Lyle I 23 think is going to say the same thing -- I think 24 when they came to our last meeting they said they

52

1 had standardized plans now.

2 MR. FOSTER: One.

3 MR. WINTER: And that they were an 4 autonomous sector. And I don't think that if they 5 would acquire the land for purposes of a post 6 office, I kind of got the impression that they 7 wouldn't have to follow necessarily --

8 MR. WILDENBERG: That's what they like to tell you. 9 MR. WINTER: That's what he did tell us. 10 MR. WILDENBERG: Yeah, many times. 11 12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: But the thing is that 13 he still went through the process and it didn't get 14 him very far, did it, with the Village Board? 15 MR. WINTER: Or with us really. I mean we didn't recommend that. 16 17 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: But my point is is that, you know, at some point if they're willing to 18 19 work with us at least we've got some guidelines in 20 place that we know we can say this is what we would 21 like to see there. 22 Now whether they're, as he put it 23 that they're sovereign and they can do whatever they want, that's -- I guess that may eventually be 24 53 1 true. But at least we've got something to fall back on and we can attempt to direct them because 2 I'm sure they're still going to come before us. 3 MR. WILDENBERG: Plus there was at least 4 some notion that, you know, the post office might 5 б be an appropriate use to try to retain in this 7 particular area. So I think we -- as Don is saying, 8 9 we do have our foot in the door to talk about other design criteria and elements. You know, at least 10 we have an opportunity to discuss that with them. 11

12	MS. KOVARIK: And then what I'm afraid
13	of not necessarily afraid of, but we'll have
14	this nice pedestrian friendly development on one,
15	the east side of the street and then we'll have two
16	big boxes on the west side of the street which
17	almost defeats this.
18	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Well, the thing is that
19	the Plan Commission is the recommending body to the
20	Village Board but the Village Board clearly is
21	going to have input on the police station.
22	Now if they it wouldn't make
23	sense to me that they would be supporting those
24	kind of things on one side and then build a police
	54
1	
	station that contradicts those elements.
	station that contradicts those elements. Now we may have trouble with the
2	Now we may have trouble with the
2 3	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going
2 3 4	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to
2 3 4 5	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that
2 3 4	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least
2 3 4 5 6	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office. But that's our position is all we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office. But that's our position is all we can do is recommend to the Village Board what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office. But that's our position is all we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office. But that's our position is all we can do is recommend to the Village Board what they should do from a character standpoint and we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Now we may have trouble with the post office, but I think since the Village is going to own the land and they will eventually have to work something out with the post office that might they might be able to work out at least some direction there to the post office. But that's our position is all we can do is recommend to the Village Board what they should do from a character standpoint and we have this in place as a guideline.

15	MR. LEE SMITH: Don.
16	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Yes.
17	MR. LEE SMITH: Just noting the
18	character of the Village Hall, the opportunity
19	might have presented itself for the Village to put
20	a box here and they didn't and took time to build a
21	building that had good character and is really
22	you know, provides a very nice element of the
23	streetscape here.
24	And I would think that the Village
	55
1	in its design for a new police station and being a
2	specific entity that really these days with
3	community policing all the rage you want to provide
4	that easy access and pedestrian type of connection
5	and I'm sure you would have an opportunity at least
б	with the Village police department to have your
7	input and have them really consider that.
8	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Well, there's another
9	thing here, too. The Village Board, if they're the
10	ones that are going to be approving this overlay
11	district, now why would they approve something that
12	they're not going to follow. That wouldn't make
13	any sense. I mean they could do it, but it just
14	wouldn't make any sense.
15	MS. KOVARIK: That's kind of what I
16	wanted to make sure.
17	The other thing I wanted to clarify
18	or ask, in 5.2A.2 you have one definition of this

19 overlay and then in 5.2A.15 you've got a longer 20 definition. MR. LEE SMITH: 5.2A.2? 21 MS. KOVARIK: Right, is your first 2.2 23 definition of what is included in the overlay district. 24 56 1 MR. LEE SMITH: 5.2A.15. MS. KOVARIK: Fifteen, which is on Page 2 12, you've got a longer definition that encompasses 3 more area. They should at least be consistent I 4 5 think. MR. LEE SMITH: Yes, I agree. We'll б make that consistent with the definition that is in 7 5.2A.2. 8 MR. SULA: Which one? 9 MS. KOVARIK: The first one. 10 11 MR. LEE SMITH: We are going to refine 5.2A.15 to reflect the definition in 5.2A.2. 12 MR. SULA: Is Grand Avenue 132 at that 13 14 point or is Grand Avenue the street that's farther 15 north? 16 MR. MAIDEN: It's going to get scratched 17 anyway. MR. LEE SMITH: We're just going to 18 19 refine it to the parcels that are right at O'Plaine and Washington. 20 21 MR. SULA: That's not what that actually

22 says.

23 MR. LEE SMITH: Washington Street24 located north of the C/B-2.

57

1 MR. SULA: You can read that as anything 2 along O'Plaine Road or Washington Street. MR. MAIDEN: That's zoned C/B-2. 3 4 MR. SULA: Right. MR. LEE SMITH: That's zoned C/B-2. And 5 6 those are the only parcels that are zoned C/B-2. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay? 7 MR. SULA: Yes. 8 MS. KOVARIK: And then the last one, 9 just grammatical, hyphen pedestrian oriented. 10 11 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Barb, you have 12 something? 13 MS. SWANSON: Yes. On Pages 9 and 10 14 there's reference to a base map that needs to be 15 prepared as well as the environmental statement. 16 Is there any restriction as to who that needs to be prepared by? For instance, does 17 18 the environmental statement need to be prepared by a licensed surveyor or can the petitioner, can 19 20 anyone just say here is what the drainage patterns 21 are and I'm qualified to tell you what the soils 22 are? 23 You might want to limit that as to who is qualified to give that statement and then 24

1 the base map with that List A through H, you know, who prepares that. 2 MR. LEE SMITH: Okay. 3 MR. MAIDEN: We have limited for the 4 C/S-3 Districts sometimes the realtor or the land 5 owner have prepared those. It just depends when 6 7 they apply to you if you find it acceptable or not. 8 Probably the majority of them have 9 been prepared by architects but we haven't said it has to be. Just considering that area I would 10 11 imagine it is probably going to be an architect but 12 we haven't specified it in the past. MR. LEE SMITH: I think the question 13 relates more to the environmental statement and 14 15 whether we should have that be a certified, you 16 know, professional engineer. And I am not sure how that's 17 18 treated in the C/S-3. I believe that -- I believe it's the same requirement and that we took it from 19 the C/S-3. We do not require a professional 20 21 engineer to put that together, the environmental 22 statement. 23 Sometimes it's put together by an ecologist or some other professional that has 24 59 information relative to natural resource 1 information. 2

I think that the Plan Commission if

3

4 they find in an application that the evidence is 5 not sufficient or have been put together by someone б who may lack the certain qualifications they would 7 always have the opportunity to request additional information be provided by someone with a 8 9 professional accreditation. 10 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Does everyone feel 11 that's okay? MR. SULA: I don't necessarily think 12 13 that it has to say an engineer, but I think it should be a statement that's prepared by a 14 reputable --15 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: By somebody reputable? 16 MR. SULA: Well, what is the right word? 17 MS. KOVARIK: By a professional with 18 19 expertise in that area. 20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I think you have, you 21 know, then you're going to get into definitions. I 22 think you have one choice here, either you just say 23 it needs to be prepared or it needs to be prepared by a licensed architect or licensed engineer. 24 60 1 I don't think there's anything really in between that you're going to be able to 2 define. 3 MR. SULA: Prepared by a licensed 4 individual. 5 б MR. WILDENBERG: Especially when we get

7 into the areas of flood plain and floodway which 8 probably isn't going to come into play down here 9 because we don't have floodway and flood plain down 10 on Washington and O'Plaine, but in the other areas 11 where it does come into play we have to have a 12 registered professional engineer for those items 13 anyway.

I think the tendency is going to be, as Butch and Lee are saying, since these are commercial properties you're going to tend to see the engineers and architects involved up front anyway in their pre-planning and in looking at things before they even draw any plans and submit any proposals to the Village.

I don't know that it's going to bea big problem.

23 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Well, I think the other24 thing to point out is that this is in the special

61

1 use section so it's going to be a public hearing. 2 Staff is going to be recommending things and it may 3 be that as Lee indicated that what's provided to us is felt to be inadequate either by staff or by the 4 5 commission and we could say this is inadequate, б you're going to have to get a licensed engineer or 7 a licensed architect to review this if the 8 particular matter is more critical.

9 And the same thing would be true in10 the C/S-3 District because we don't know what we're

11 going to run into there. And it might be a simple 12 matter where you don't really need to have the 13 professional expertise. MR. SULA: I think putting something in 14 like a recognized expert or a licensed registered 15 16 professional. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: 17 Jon. 18 MR. WILDENBERG: The only thing that 19 does, though -- and again it's probably not going 20 to come into play here -- but in the C/S-3 District, for instance, there are some of the 21 projects that have been really minor where there 22 23 have been no changes to the topography of the land, 24 no asphalt added, nothing done to the buildings and 62 it really didn't seem to make much sense to have 1

it really didn't seem to make much sense to have
 somebody go out and hire an engineer and an
 architect to look at that site.

It almost falls in place naturally 4 as you look at the projects. We typically -- when 5 we start getting knowledge of people looking at б things we will typically suggest to them that, you 7 8 know, you're probably at the point where you need to get an engineer and/or an architect involved 9 with the project because if you're going to public 10 11 hearing you're going to have to have some good 12 plans together to be able to show what it is that you want to show. 13

14 But if you make it part of the Ordinance then you'll require each and every 15 16 instance everyone has to go out and hire an engineer and an architect whereas they really might 17 18 not actually have to in all circumstances. 19 And again the fail safe is if 20 you're not comfortable with the information that 21 you're receiving from the petitioner you can always 22 have that upgraded. MR. SULA: Isn't it easier to waive 23 24 something that's required as opposed to require 63 1 something that's not required? MR. WILDENBERG: It depends who you're 2 3 sitting at the table with at the time. If we're talking to a resident at 4 5 the counter that's looking to develop one of these б lots that may come about in this commercial center 7 and if we get into an area where everything has

9 make sense for us to tell them you have to go out 10 and get another engineer and look at all this 11 again.

8

been pre-engineered and so forth it's not going to

Any commercial development is going to have to be engineered anyway and before they get any development permits they're going to have to go through final engineering with our engineering department. So that it really all gets pretty well addressed before they ever get to the point where 18 they could draw a building permit, especially so on 19 a commercial project.

20 MR. MAIDEN: And that's part of what 21 we're looking at with the smaller users. 22 If someone comes in, for example, 23 next to the post office and has a building that's 24 going to have three or four users in it, we were

64

1 looking at it that maybe someone comes in and says 2 I want to have a dance school or I want to have 3 a -- or some of the other uses, just some of these special uses where it might be more the ma and pa 4 5 operation or some local resident that sees an open store front and says they would like to do it. 6 7 We didn't want to put too many 8 restrictions on it just as they didn't with the Village center area that says you must get a 9 10 licensed architect, you must do this. Buildings may exist, this is just consistent with the special 11 If you find that information -- you can deal 12 use. 13 with it. If you get to the point that they don't deal with something, wait a minute I want more 14 15 information on that environmental statement, I think you can deal with it at that particular time. 16 MR. SULA: I'm feeling a lot of 17 resistance to adding the additional requirement and 18 I'm not quite sure why but we're trying to make 19 20 this area as something that looks nice, something

21 that we're proud to be part of the Village but yet 22 we're willing to cut corners and I have a problem 23 with that.

24 MR. WINTER: Jim, I think part of the

65

problem is certification or registration can mean many different things and there may be a spectrum of what people could potentially -- what people could submit an environmental statement and I don't know that it's going to serve any purpose to say staff has to get something from a certified engineer on this.

8 I think in light of what they said 9 is that we're going to take a look at it. If they 10 give us something that's inadequate, we're going to 11 point it out to the Board and I guess I kind of 12 agree.

13 I think -- I'll give you an example 14 even in court what we consider to be an expert 15 doesn't necessarily have to be licensed. His experience in the field. And so if a builder came 16 17 in -- and just as Butch has mentioned, some of these indications they know this better than maybe 18 19 a licensed engineer -- why would you make someone 20 pay a licensed engineer to look into this and is staff really going to check whether his 21 2.2 registration is up.

I think it really doesn't make anysense as long as the purpose is served here in that

1 we have adequate information and we ultimately have the authority to pass judgment as to whether what 2 they've submitted is sufficient or not. 3 And so I would be reluctant to just 4 5 put that in instead of really relying on us to б exercise our judgment. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I might point out, too, 7 8 that I'm a licensed engineer but I don't get involved with environmental statements. 9 10 So there are licensed engineers 11 that are in various disciplines so just putting in 12 licensed engineer doesn't guarantee that you're 13 going to have somebody who is an expert in 14 environmental matters. MR. WILDENBERG: And I don't know if it 15 will do your heart any good on this one but for 16 every commercial property all civil engineering 17 aspects of it, all drainage, utilities, roadways 18 and so forth, those plans have to be prepared right 19 20 now under the Village Ordinances by a state 21 licensed civil engineer. 22 So those are always handled no matter what by a licensed civil engineer and 23 reviewed by our engineers before there's any 24 67 development permits issued. So that aspect always 1 2 takes care of itself because that's just a

3 requirement of any development right now. 4 MR. SULA: As long as that overriding condition is there regardless of the size then 5 I'm -б 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: This is just for the special use they have to provide special 8 9 information. 10 Is everyone else comfortable with that now? 11 12 MR. SMITH: It's just too bad they didn't move a little farther north a little sooner 13 before the library went up. 14 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Barb, you had some 15 corrections. 16 MS. SWANSON: Just to be consistent on 17 18 Page 10 still under environmental number three under architectural and number B-2 under 19 20 development where it says a statement should be 21 prepared I think that should be changed to shall so 22 that it's consistent with everything else we're 23 requiring. So a statement shall be prepared. Should isn't strong enough in my opinion. 24 68 MR. LEE SMITH: Okay. 1 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Is that it? 2 3 MS. SWANSON: Yes. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any other questions or 4 comments? 5

б (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. At this time then I'd like to open the floor to the public. If 8 you wish to make a comment or ask a question you 9 10 may do so at this time. Anything? 11 MR. KLOCZKOWSKI: Is there a copy of 12 what you guys are reading up there for us? 13 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Why don't you step up 14 to the mic because you're going to have to state your name and address for the record so. You just 15 16 want a copy? 17 MR. KLOCZKOWSKI: Yeah. Dan 18 Kloczkowski, K-l-o-c-z-k-o-w-s-k-i. That's 487 19 North O'Plaine. And I just want to know if we can 20 have a copy and it's been taken care of. Thank 21 you. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. You got your 22 name on the record now. Is there anything else? 23 24 Any other questions or comments? 69 1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. The floor is closed to the public. And back to the Plan 3 4 Commission. I'll entertain a motion to forward a 5 favorable recommendation on this. MR. SMITH: So moved. б 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Motion by Mr. Smith. Do I have a second? 8 MS. KOVARIK: I'll second. 9

10	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Second by Ms. Kovarik.
11	Any discussion on the matter?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: All those in favor of
14	the motion signify by saying aye in the roll call;
15	those opposed nay. Roll call, please.
16	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
17	MR. SULA: Aye.
18	MS. VELKOVER: Foster.
19	MR. FOSTER: Aye.
20	MS. VELKOVER: Smith.
21	MR. SMITH: Aye.
22	MS. VELKOVER: Winter.
23	MR. WINTER: Aye.
24	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
	70
1	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
2	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
3	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
4	and it is so ordered.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: For the Zoning Board of
б	Appeals in reference to the Village Center Overlay
7	District requirements, is there a motion with
8	respect to that?
9	MR. McDOWELL: I'd make a favorable
10	motion.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second to
12	that?

MR. FINN: I'll second that. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Finn seconds that. 14 Could we have a roll call, please. 15 MS. VELKOVER: McDowell. 16 17 MR. McDOWELL: Aye. 18 MS. VELKOVER: Clark. 19 MR. CLARK: Aye. 20 MS. VELKOVER: Finn. MR. FINN: Aye. 21 22 MS. VELKOVER: Hood. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries. MR. CLARK: And I'd like to say it's 24 1 kind of nice to see this thing finally come to an 2 end. It was a lot of work put into this thing and 3 I like the looks of it. I really do. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: So that's it then on 4 5 that particular matter. So you're certainly 6 welcome to stay. 7 The last matter is going to be the proposed amendment on neon tube controls so I don't 8 know if you have an interest in that, but if some 9 10 of you leave I thank you very much for coming. We

11 appreciate your input on this whole thing. I think 12 it worked out pretty well.

13 So the next proposed amendment is 14 F, neon tubing controls. Add language to the Village's Sign Ordinance that prohibits neon tubing 15 outside of the sign area and independent of any 16

17 information conveyed by a permitted sign from being 18 affixed directly or indirectly to any building or 19 structure except as a special use in the C/B-2 and 20 C/S-2 Districts.

Also add language that addresses the area of calculation for the neon tubing and provisions for signs in the Village Center Overlay District. So, Tracy, are you going to take this

72

one or everybody is pointing.

1

MR. MAIDEN: I think you've basically 2 3 summed it up already that really that's what we're 4 looking at is just the issue of whether this should 5 require a special use hearing for the neon tubing б questions that have come up recently. I think one of the issues that we 7 have been concerned with is since these are 8 9 becoming attention attracting devices should you

10 include them in your sign limitations.

We're also finding with some of the recent applications whereas the first individuals were coming in with one band of neon now they're coming in with two bands of neon and we understand some of the people are coming in now asking about three bands of neon with different colors on all three bands.

18 And so this is where I think we're 19 getting to the point where does it stop. And if 20 you don't start providing for some special use 21 review how far are you going to let it continue. 22 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Were there any 23 questions or comments on the wording of this? Ms. 24 Kovarik.

73

1 MS. KOVARIK: The statement which does 2 not convey a specific message except as permitted, 3 do we have a process -- and what I'm thinking of I 4 guess is -- and I've been noticing this the last couple weeks like a little strip mall has five 5 stores and each one of them in their window has the б open and then the Miller and then the little needle 7 going through the spool and, you know, on and on 8 9 and on. 10 Are those -- is that something they have to get a permit for to put those in their 11 12 windows because that is neon tubing. 13 MR. WILDENBERG: Those would be signs 14 that would be outside of this neon tubing control 15 as it's proposed right now in the document. MS. KOVARIK: Does it count under their 16 17 signage? 18 MR. WILDENBERG: They're allowed to have 19 I believe window signage up to 50 percent. MR. MAIDEN: 25 percent. 20 21 MS. KOVARIK: So the messages and whatever they are are permitted up to 25 percent? 22 23 MR. WILDENBERG: And when we get to the

Sign Ordinance portion of the overall Zoning

74 1 Ordinance update that's probably a very good thing 2 to get into. 3 MS. KOVARIK: If they were to outline 4 their windows with the neon tube, is that 5 encompassed there? MR. MAIDEN: If they're not conveying a 6 7 message then they should come in for special use review. 8 9 MS. KOVARIK: Why not C/B-1? Why only 10 C/B-2? 11 MR. MAIDEN: Right now I don't believe -- well, I don't think we have any in the C/B-1 now 12 13 where they're lining the buildings. And what we're basically saying is that in the C/B-1 you couldn't 14 do this, it's more of a neighborhood type use. 15 16 MS. KOVARIK: So prohibited everywhere 17 and when you get to a C/B-2 it would be under a special use. 18 19 MR. MAIDEN: Well, C/B-2 and C/S-2 20 because we do have apparently one of the new 21 restaurants up on the north area has it in the C/S-2. 22 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Any other comments? 23 24 Mr. Sula.

75

1

MR. SULA: What happens with the ones

2 that are already there, are they grandfathered? 3 MR. MAIDEN: Yeah, they would be legal 4 nonconforming uses. If they want to start 5 expanding and adding more tubing they would have to come back for special use approval. But they would б 7 be able to continue what they have. MS. KOVARIK: Can't we go back and count 8 it in their signage? 9 MR. MAIDEN: I don't think so. If they 10 11 have a legal permit I don't think we could make this retroactive. 12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: The only thing you 13 could do is you'd have to do some like what we 14 talked about in the Lighting Ordinance, you'd have 15 to do some amortizing or something like that. I 16 suppose you could look at something like that. 17 MS. KOVARIK: Ownership changes on the 18 19 site or the use changes on the site? 20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: If the use changes. 21 MR. MAIDEN: Right, if the use changes and it's no longer that use, yes, you could. Then 22 the amortization could take over. 23 24 MS. KOVARIK: What about ownership? 76 MR. MAIDEN: Ownership if it's the same 1 2 -- you know, just one restaurant sells to another owner but it's still a restaurant and they don't 3 4 change that, no, I think that's -- we've

5 grandfathered those types of conditions in before 6 as well.

7 And again, it's something as Jon 8 said, you may want to look at when you do the 9 overall update of the Sign Ordinance. This is I 10 think since we're getting these applications like 11 monthly this is one that sort of needs to have the 12 control in at this time so you have some ability to 13 review it now.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And you had mentioned in the special use process when they come in and 15 16 say we want to put tubing around the top of our 17 restaurant is that going to be every time? MR. MAIDEN: I think they're going to 18 19 relate it to the size of all the other signs. And 20 if that's part of the character of the area I don't 21 see how we can say no.

I think what you can do, though, is when they start going from the character of the area is one color outlining the building and

77

suddenly they come in with fifteen different colors
 of neon tubing all over the place then I think you
 can have some input.

4 No, I don't think in the areas
5 where they're doing it now I don't think you're
6 going to be able to ban it completely with this
7 provision.

8

MS. VELKOVER: But this provision does

at least set some amount of neon tubing that you 9 10 can get because it would factor it into the overall 11 signage that you're allowed for a site. 12 And one of the other things that I 13 don't know if we've brought up yet but in the draft 14 here we do have, you know, a question to you about whether you want to maybe restrict it to certain 15 16 walls, either walls that front out onto a public street or a parking lot. 17 18 So, you know, it would basically prohibit them from putting it on the back of the 19 20 building that doesn't have any frontage on any really visible area. 21 22 And that's really just a question 23 to the Commission about whether they want to put 24 that restriction on. 78 1 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. Mr. Smith, you 2 had something? MR. SMITH: I don't really find it that 3 intrusive and apparently they're not getting their 4 money's worth that they're spending because you 5 6 told us there's seven or eight places in Gurnee that got it, we all couldn't figure out who they 7 8 were. 9 People didn't even know probably 10 six of the seven places. The only one they knew of was Avalon. Apparently they're not getting their 11

12 money's worth and apparently they're not that intrusive, not standing out or we would have 13 noticed it maybe. 14 MR. MAIDEN: That may be something you 15 16 could deal with through the special use process 17 after you have a few applications that staff can 18 work with them. 19 If suddenly you start finding, as I 20 said, they're doing fifteen different colors and 21 the rest of it and saying yes and we're putting it on the side next to residential, that's not the 22 23 intent, why do you need it on the side next to the 24 residential or why do you need it on whatever 79 1 particular area. 2 MS. VELKOVER: And I don't think anybody

3 is saying that it should be banned completely. 4 But for a business to have the 5 ability to put it on or to outline their building with it, it's basically a sign. It's drawing 6 attention to their building and for that not to 7 8 count into their signage calculation, you know, 9 just -- it really should be counted in in some 10 manner.

And that's what this Ordinance does is put a calculation in there so that attention attracting device does get calculated in and figures into the overall signage for the site. MR. SMITH: But apparently they're not 16 attracting that much if none of us knew that there 17 was that many of those around here. We couldn't 18 even name them, the ones that had the neon signs. 19 So apparently whatever it is isn't attracting. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I think at the last 20 21 meeting I think somebody mentioned five or six places that had --22 23 MR. SMITH: Tracy told us there was like seven or eight of them and we almost looked in 24 80 1 amazement, we couldn't believe that there was that 2 many of them. None of us could name maybe one or 3 two. 4 I'm just saying I don't think that 5 they're attracting that much what they're paying for. 6 7 MR. WINTER: As far as the wording for 8 the footnote where it says counted against, I thought that was maybe a little awkward. 9 Maybe it should read shall be 10 11 included as part of the maximum total sign area 12 permitted instead of counted against. I'm not 13 sure. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I don't know if that's 14 clear, though, when you say include. How do you 15 16 want to word it? MR. WINTER: And shall be included as 17 part of the maximum total sign area. 18

19 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I don't know. Is that 20 clear? MR. MAIDEN: How about it shall be 21 calculated within the maximum total sign area. 22 23 MS. KOVARIK: Shall be calculated as 24 part of. 81 1 MR. SULA: Or utilized in the 2 calculation of maximum total signage. How about

3 that? CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I would say it shall be 4 counted as one square foot of signage and 5 subtracted from the maximum total sign area. 6 MR. SULA: You don't want to subtract it 7 8 from that, you want it to be part of the 9 calculation of what the total signage really is. MS. KOVARIK: Yeah, because if they have 10 11 a 50 square foot sign and 25 square feet of tubing 12 then they only get a 25 square foot sign because 13 they've already used the 25. 14 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Barb says shall be 15 calculated as part of the maximum total sign area. MS. KOVARIK: Give me this again, I'm 16 17 still getting hung up on the special use thing. 18 If Wendy's came forward in a C/B-2 District where they're permitted they would now --19 20 just because they want neon tubing they would get kicked into -- they have to go through the special 21 22 use process?

23 MR. MAIDEN: Only for the tubing. You24 couldn't get into issues about whether that

82 1 restaurant is an allowed use or not. 2 The restaurant is an allowed use, 3 all you're doing is getting into can they have the 4 tubing as part of it. 5 MS. KOVARIK: So it doesn't make the 6 whole use special, it's just the neon tubing they 7 would have to apply for a special use for? 8 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Right. 9 MR. MAIDEN: And if they want to come 10 back because they've got one band or two bands of 11 tubing and they want to add another band of tubing 12 or two or three more bands of tubing, they have to 13 come back for approval. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: It's like somebody 14 15 coming who wants a bigger sign that's larger than the permitted sign they have to come in for a 16 special use permit for that sign. So they have to 17 18 come in for a special use permit for the neon 19 lighting. Mr. Sula. 20 MR. SULA: What would happen if someone came in and wanted to line their roof with 20 watt 21 red translucent light bulbs every 12 inches along 22

23 their roof line?

24 MR. MAIDEN: Right now your ordinance

1 does say if it's -- if the function is nothing more 2 than an attention attracting device then it is to be considered a sign. 3 4 The question has been is this truly only an attention attracting device. I think in my 5 opinion that's what they do, it's all that type of 6 lighting and it serves no other purpose. I think 7 8 you should bring up that it is -- it's a sign, it's 9 an attention attracting device. 10 MS. KOVARIK: So it's really a matter that our Ordinance doesn't define neon tubing or 11 address neon tubing. 12 MR. MAIDEN: I think because this 13 appears now to be the latest fad. We are finding 14 15 this with other communities as you mentioned about -- you're not finding it to be all that --16 17 attracting that much attention. 18 And that's what we're finding in 19 other communities is that first they're coming in 20 with one row of lighting and it's not all that offensive. 21 22 But then when they wait two months and say, you know, what I really want to do is 23 24 attract more business to my restaurant versus that 84 1 restaurant so I'm going to have to add more colors and two rows of tubes. And six months later 2 3 they're adding more colors and more tubes.

4 And as you go look at some of the other communities that have experienced it for two 5 or three years it is getting rather excessive. You 6 have to decide what works for you. 7 MR. SULA: I don't think you understood 8 9 the question. 10 What if somebody lined the roof 11 with incandescent lights? 12 MR. MAIDEN: If the only function it 13 serves is as an attention attracting device I think it's prohibited unless it's considered as part of 14 15 the signage. 16 MR. LEE SMITH: We have no control for that situation. 17 MR. MAIDEN: Well, it's a matter of 18 19 interpretation because we haven't done -- we've had this with other communities, what is the purpose 20 of -- what if they decide to add an aquarium with 21 22 fish swimming by on the wall, I mean what is that, okay, and it's got lights behind it, whatever. 23 Really there is -- can you regulate 24 85 1 every possible situation on attention attracting 2 devices. And our experience has been you really 3 can't. Somewhere you have to draw a line. 4 5 And this is where apparently you're deciding whether you do or do not want to draw a line here. б 7 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Where is our control on

8 this case? Is it through our Sign Ordinance then? 9 MR. LEE SMITH: This is a modification to the Sign Ordinance. 10 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Then that knocks it 11 into the sign and actually technically we could 12 have done the same thing with the neon tubing. 13 MR. MAIDEN: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Only this really 15 16 confirms that the tubing is going to be a special 17 use and it's going to be considered in the Sign Ordinance. 18 (Exit Mr. Winter.) 19 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: So we're really pinning 20 21 this one down because you can have other things, 22 too. 23 You can have flags, for example. 24 Somebody could put flags completely around the 86 1 building but then that automatically drops them -there's something in our Sign Ordinance that 2 3 prohibits that, right? MR. MAIDEN: Other than national signs 4 5 or official signs. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Anything else? б 7 MR. SMITH: How do you determine attention getting? 8 9 I'm not picking on the Avalon, but they've got white lights on the sides of their 10

11 building now. To me they're attention getting. 12 Would that be considered as part of their lighting, the side ones? 13 MR. MAIDEN: You'd have to do it on a 14 15 case-by-case basis. 16 MR. SMITH: They can say it's for 17 security. 18 MR. LEE SMITH: But you do now have a 19 lighting -- an Exterior Lighting Ordinance that 20 comes into play as well. 21 Now the neon really doesn't provide 22 that much light per se. You can see it but it 23 doesn't shed light all that far. 24 But if someone did have a row of 87 1 incandescent bulbs and we have that control over 2 being able to see glare with the new exterior 3 lighting provisions that those would be controlled 4 through that Ordinance. 5 MR. WILDENBERG: This doesn't prohibit the application of neon tubing. It allows for it. б 7 However, it does create the opportunity for at least a review of the 8

9 application and also an examination of how that 10 application fits in with how much signage is 11 already on the property or proposed for the 12 property.

MR. SMITH: I just want to make surethat we're not just isolating one where we're

15 isolating neon tubing and open ourselves up to --16 MR. WILDENBERG: And some lighting is 17 purely decorative or, you know, to enhance the architecture of the building, too. 18 19 So it gets pretty tricky to write 20 something that addresses each and every nuance that could come up, but those are things that have to be 21 22 looked at. MS. KOVARIK: Maybe we should include 23 24 the word ornamental or decoration rather than just 88 1 attention getting. 2 MR. WILDENBERG: Well, you know, I --3 the concern that was brought out was with 4 specifically the application of neon tubing. If we're going to get into all the 5 other kinds of lighting possibilities we may want б 7 to have a workshop or, you know, take some time to talk about that, too, you know, because --8 MS. KOVARIK: No, no. 9 10 MR. WILDENBERG: And it may be worthwhile doing that. 11 12 MR. MAIDEN: I think part of the discussion if it is truly ornamental and that's its 13 14 purpose and it's part of the integral part of the 15 architecture, for example, the skylight that you have in this building, it's ornamental. 16 17 But its sole function isn't to

18 attract people to come in here and do your
19 business. If that's the only purpose and it only
20 is just as an attention attracting device it's
21 truly a sign. But if it truly is ornamental and
22 it's part of some Frank Lloyd Wright design then
23 you're not trying to limit it, you will let that
24 occur.

1 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. I think there 2 was a question here does the Plan Commission want to limit neon tubing only to certain walls such as 3 the walls fronting the street or parking lot and 4 those walls which are not visible from a 5 residential district. б So does anybody have any thoughts 7 on that? 8 MR. SULA: Can we limit it to ones that 9 10 aren't visible from the street? 11 Seriously, I think we should limit 12 it to only those walls that are not visible to any sort of a residential district. 13 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Wasn't that something 14 15 that we would -- we were going to go through the 16 special use process so isn't that something that we 17 could handle on a case-by-case basis? MR. MAIDEN: We just didn't know and 18 19 some of them were starting to come in and ask what's the policy. Right now we're not sure what 20 to tell them. 21

```
89
```

22 And I think maybe that's the best 23 we can tell them is make your special use 24 application and let's see what the policy is going 90 1 to be. 2 MS. KOVARIK: How would you tell just 3 for real if somebody came before us with a special use it's not like you can measure this total 4 5 footage. So how would you look at a flat 6 7 plan sitting here in front of you to tell what it 8 would look like from a residential area to judge 9 whether it would be, you know, unobtrusive or too bright or --10 11 MR. MAIDEN: Now I think you have some examples. Again, what's the purpose of it, 12 attracting attention, do you need it on the 13 14 residential side, do you need three or four bands of different colored neon on that one side, what's 15 the purpose of it, whose attention are you trying 16 17 to attract? If they truly have as part of their 18 19 architecture one white band around that side of the 20 building that's next to residential and it has a 21 parking lot or something in that area, I guess 22 you'll have to decide, maybe that's not that offensive as part of the architectural theme of 23 24 this building.

1 You'll have to wait and see what 2 they come in and apply for. MS. KOVARIK: Are there like degrees of 3 neon tubing or is it all the same? 4 MR. MAIDEN: I think some of it is 5 similar just like colors, you know, if someone б 7 starts painting that side of the wall fluorescent blue and fluorescent yellow and ten different 8 colors and all that, some of it is a bit subjective 9 now, you are getting into some aesthetic questions. 10 The problem with the tubing is it's 11 the nighttime issue near these residents. 12 MR. WILDENBERG: One of the things that 13 14 Gurnee Mills has done in some of their applications 15 of neon tubing is to require that it be linked to a 16 rheostat so you can adjust the intensity of the 17 tubing if, you know, there's a hot spot or a concern that arises so that the actual illumination 18 19 level of the tubing can be adjusted. 20 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I think the other thing 21 is you may run into a case where somebody can see 22 the front of a building from a residential area. 23 And that may be, you know, taken a mile away or 24 something.

92

1			But right	z, you	have t	he	Cafe	
2	Oceana,	there's	probably	some	resider	nts	that	can

91

3 see the front of that building so.

MS. KOVARIK: I think this is a good 4 start. It at least gives staff some direction to 5 give these people putting them through the special б 7 use process. We may find we have to do something 8 9 more with it but at least it's a step, a small 10 step. At least there's something that does 11 control. 12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: It doesn't seem overly restrictive and it certainly doesn't open up 13 14 anything more than we have now. 15 I mean it's going to just cause 16 them to come before us and justify their plan. And it doesn't sound like there's a whole lot of these 17 18 so it's not like it's going to overwork us or 19 anything. Does the Zoning Board of Appeals 20 21 have any comments on this? MR. CLARK: I think you guys have 22 covered it. 23 24 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I think so, too. 93 1 Let me open the floor to the 2 public. Was there anyone from the public that 3 wanted to make a comment or ask a question? 4 (No response.) CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. The floor is 5 closed to the public. Jon. б

7 MR. WILDENBERG: I did have contact with 8 a sign contractor who is doing work in town and who is also -- has a permit pending for a neon tube 9 10 application here in town. 11 They would be here tonight to talk 12 and to ask questions and exchange ideas but they had other hearings that they had to attend to in 13 14 other towns tonight so they weren't able to be here. 15 16 They had asked me if the Boards were going to hold this particular item over that 17 they have an opportunity to address the Boards. I 18 19 told them that if that wasn't the case they would 20 have an opportunity to address the Village Board 21 when it went on to them. 22 But they are very interested in 23 this topic and they have done work in town. And I 24 think they would like to participate but it's just 94 unfortunate timing tonight that they can't be here 1 2 so. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Did you give them a 3 4 copy of the draft? MR. WILDENBERG: We went over the basic 5 guidelines of trying to, you know, relate it to 6 7 signage and relate it to square foot basis. And they were familiar with a 8 9 concept in another community which they didn't name 10 that kind of went way overboard with what they were 11 doing. They kind of at first blush thought we might be a little more on the reasonable side than 12 what some other communities had done. 13 14 The one example that this gentleman 15 alluded to with me was in one application in a 16 community if you had neon on any wall of a building 17 the entire wall was counted as square footage 18 towards your signage. So if you have a 4,000 19 square foot wall on the side of a building that was 20 counted against your signage so it was a little 21 extreme. 22 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I mean really with 23 regard to what they're doing in other communities, 24 what did they think of ours here? Did he have 95 1 objections to what we were doing here? 2 MR. WILDENBERG: He really didn't have anything put together yet, but I think he'll take 3 4 the time to do so later. CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Well, like you said, he 5 б can always go before the Village Board, too. 7 So if there's no other comments or 8 questions I'll entertain a motion for a favorable 9 recommendation.

10MR. FOSTER: So moved with the11amendments as added.

12 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: I have a motion. Do I 13 have a second?

14	MS. KOVARIK: I'll second.
15	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Second by Ms. Kovarik.
16	All those in favor of the motion signify by saying
17	aye in the roll call; those opposed nay. Roll
18	call, please.
19	MS. VELKOVER: Sula.
20	MR. SULA: Aye.
21	MS. VELKOVER: Foster.
22	MR. FOSTER: Aye.
23	MS. VELKOVER: Smith.
24	MR. SMITH: Aye.
	96
1	MS. VELKOVER: Kovarik.
2	MS. KOVARIK: Aye.
3	MS. VELKOVER: Rudny.
4	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Aye. Motion carries
5	and it is so ordered. Tom.
б	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Zoning Board of Appeals
7	in reference to the neon tubing. Is there a motion
8	in reference to this?
9	MR. CLARK: I'd move for a favorable
10	motion with the appropriate changes.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there a second to the
12	motion?
13	MR. FINN: I'll second it.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Could we have roll call,
15	please.
16	MS. VELKOVER: McDowell.

17	MR.	McDOWELL:	Aye.
----	-----	-----------	------

18 MS. VELKOVER: Clark.

19 MR. CLARK: Aye.

- 20 MS. VELKOVER: Finn.
- 21 MR. FINN: Aye.
- 22 MS. VELKOVER: Hood.
- 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Motion carries.

```
24 CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Okay. That's it for
```

97

1	tonight. Do we have a motion to adjourn?
2	MR. SMITH: So moved.
3	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Do I have a second?
4	MR. SULA: I'll second.
5	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: All those in favor say
6	aye.
7	("Aye" responses.)
8	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Opposed, nay.
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN RUDNY: Meeting adjourned.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Motion to adjourn by the
12	Zoning Board. Aye?
13	("Aye" responses.)
14	(The hearing concluded at 8:49 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21 22 23

24

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS: COUNTY OF L A K E)

I, SANDRA K. SMITH, do hereby certify that I am a court reporter doing business in the County of Lake and State of Illinois; that I reported by means of machine shorthand the testimony given at the foregoing Report of Proceedings, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

> SANDRA K. SMITH, CSR, RPR CSR License No. 084-003104

98