1	
2	
3	VILLAGE OF GURNEE
4	PLAN COMMISSION
5	
6	PUBLIC HEARING
7	held May 5, 1999
8	7:30 p.m.
9	
10	GURNEE MUNICIPAL BUILDING 325 North O'Plaine Road
11	Gurnee, Illinois
12	
13	
14	PLAN COMMISSION: BRYAN WINTER, Chairman, pro tem
15	JIM SULA FRANK PAPP
16	CARL CEPON BILL FINN
17	BILL PHAN BILL SMITH BRYAN WINTER
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT: JON WILDENBERG BARBARA SWANSON
20	
21	Reported by: VIRGINIA A. GAISER, CSR CSR License No. 084-003887
22	
23	

1	MR. WINTER: We'd like to call the
2	Village of Gurnee Plan Commission meeting to
3	order. We'll start with the roll call.
4	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula.
5	MR. SULA: Here.
6	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp.
7	MR. PAPP: Here.
8	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon.
9	MR. CEPON: Here.
10	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Finn.
11	MR. FINN: Here.
12	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith.
13	MR. SMITH: Here.
14	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter.
15	MR. WINTER: Here.
16	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Foster.
17	MR. FOSTER: No response.
18	MR. WINTER: Why don't we please rise and
19	recite the Pledge of Allegiance.
20	(Pledge of Allegiance recited)
21	MR. WINTER: At this point in light of

- 22 the Commission not having a chairman, is there a 23 motion to appoint a Chairman pro tem? 24 MR. SMITH: I hereby make a motion that PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220 3 Bryan Winter be chairman pro tem. 1 2 MR. PAPP: Second. 3 MR. WINTER: All those in favor on a 4 voice vote say aye. 5 (Unanimous aye) 6 MR. WINTER: All those opposed? 7 (No response)
- 8 MR. WINTER: Before we move on to the
- 9 first item on our agenda, I'd like to take the
- 10 opportunity to recognize and welcome two new
- 11 members to the Plan Commission that were approved
- 12 Monday night by the Board of Trustees.
- 13 We have Frank Papp to my left. And
- 14 we're very excited to have Frank as he's an
- 15 architect. We have worked with him in joint
- 16 meetings. He's been a member of the Zoning Board
- 17 of Appeals.
- 18 We also have Bill Frank -- excuse me

19	Bill Finn to the right. And he has also been
20	on the Zoning Board of Appeals for a number of
21	years. The Plan Commission has worked with him in
22	joint meetings.
23	So we'd like to welcome both of them
24	to the Commission tonight. And just a hint, you
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	4
1	guys are the first ones that get to vote when we
2	do a roll-call vote, so I don't know whether we're
3	going to start at this end or that end, but that's
4	the way it works.
5	Now, turning to the agenda, Item
6	No. 3 on the agenda, Final plat of Subdivision,
7	Meyer Subdivision. This involves property
8	consisting of 24.5 acres located along the east
9	side of Hunt Club Road immediately south of the
10	Menards subdivision.
11	It is zoned as a PUD with underlying
12	classification of C/B-2, Community Business
13	District, and C/O-1, Restricted Office District.
14	A total of four lots are proposed as future
15	building sites. Lots are also created for wetland
16	preservation, stormwater management facilities and

1/	a landscape buffer along Hunt Club Road.
18	As this is a matter that previously
19	was a public hearing that granted part of the
20	process for obtaining a PUD, I will begin by
21	allowing Mr. Wildenberg to perhaps add to this
22	item, and then we'll have the petitioner give a
23	short presentation.
24	MR. WILDENBERG: Really, the next two
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	5
1	items on your agenda are related to this property.
2	This item is a final plat of subdivision which
3	immediately divides it into future building lots
	·
4	and the other conservation areas and detention
5	areas. The plats have been reviewed by staff and
6	by engineering, and it's recommended for your
7	approval subject to final engineering.
8	MR. WINTER: Is there anyone on behalf of
9	the petitioner on item No. 3 that would like to
10	add anything to this meeting?
11	MR. FRANK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Hal
12	Frank on behalf of Condell. Excuse me.
13	I'd just like to say that

14	representatives of the architecture firm are here
15	and would be happy to walk through the elevation
16	the final architectural elevation, if you'd care
17	to this evening. Also, Tim Nelson is here, the
18	landscape architect, and Tracy Richards, who is
19	our civil engineer.
20	We're here to answer any questions.
21	We remain very excited about getting this project
22	underway. We're hoping to close on our
23	acquisition of the property very shortly and be
24	underway with construction early in June.

1	MR. WINTER: Okay. Like I mentioned we
2	previously this petition or for purposes of
3	issuing the PUD, there was an extensive public
4	hearing. At this point the formality of seeing
5	the final plat and making a motion regarding its
6	advancement, does anyone have any questions at
7	this point?
8	Mr. Sula.
9	MR. SULA: I just have one question.
10	Can you give us an update in terms
11	of the status of trying to get an access

12	MR. FRANK: Yes. What we have done is in
13	our annexation agreement we've made a provision
14	we've done as much we could do basically, and we
15	have done that at the request of the Village
16	Board.
17	What we've done is we've added a
18	provision that says if and when it becomes
19	possible to extend this roadway across the Church
20	of Gurnee property so that there's a connection to
21	Dada extended we will do that.
22	We were not able to secure ourselves
23	a cross-easement across the Church of Gurnee
24	property, but we understand that the

7

representatives of the church are in communication
with the property owners to the south and that
there may be an opportunity in the future to work
that out.

So we're sort of waiting for them,
and if they work it out we have agreed to put in
the road.

MR. SULA: Thank you.

9	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?
10	(No response)
11	MR. WINTER: If not, the Chair would
12	entertain a motion regarding item No. 3.
13	MR. CEPON: I'll make a motion for a
14	favorable recommendation for the final plat of
15	subdivision for the Meyer Subdivision.
16	MR. WILDENBERG: I'll second that.
17	MR. WINTER: We have a motion then. At
18	this point I'd ask for a roll-call vote.
19	Before doing that it occurred to me
20	that I recused myself from hearing this item, and
21	will continue tonight to not participate in any
22	discussion and will abstain from this vote.
23	Having said that could we have a
24	roll-call vote.

8

1	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Finn.
2	MR. FINN: Yes.
3	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith.
4	MR. SMITH: Aye.
5	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula.

MR. SULA: Aye.

7	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp.
8	MR. PAPP: Aye.
9	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon.
10	MR. CEPON: Aye.
11	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter.
12	MR. WINTER: Abstain. Motion carries.
13	Item No. 4. This is related. This
14	is requesting a final PUD plat for Lot 1, Meyer
15	Subdivision. The lot contains 6.6 acres in the
16	Meyer Subdivision under its PUD zoning.
17	A review of the final site
18	development plan is necessary for this particular
19	lot. A health and fitness center operated by
20	Condell Hospital is proposed.
21	Again, I would at this point turn it
22	over to Mr. Wildenberg to add anything to this
23	item.
24	MR. WILDENBERG: In the evolution of PUD

- 1 zoning approval, this is the final step known as
- 2 the final plat wherein the petitioner developer
- 3 demonstrates his consistency with bringing forward

4	the concepts that were presented at the previous
5	public hearings.
6	MR. WINTER: Again, this is not a public
7	hearing, but at this time is there anything else
8	the petitioner would like to add for the record
9	regarding this item?
10	MR. FRANK: No, Mr. Chairman.
11	MR. WINTER: Anyone on the Commission
12	have any questions for the petitioner or staff?
13	MR. CEPON: Have there been any changes
14	since the last time we have reviewed this?
15	MR. FRANK: The only Well, the only
16	changes that I can think of are the following:
17	One, we were asked by staff on the
18	roadway in to add an additional curb cut for just
19	Lot 1 if I did this right. This where am I
20	here right here. That is new. Excuse me.
21	Staff wanted
22	We originally just had this way in
23	and out. And, you know, again, this is just the
24	part that's being developed right now. This is

10

1 Lot 1, the health and fitness center. And we were

- 2 asked to add this which we've added. And there is
- 3 a retaining wall here that was over here -- where
- 4 am I -- over here. No?
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's up front.
- 6 There is one on that corner and one -- I don't
- 7 know that I can do any better. I can do this.
- 8 There is one here that's always been there, and
- 9 there is one on that corner. We've tried to make
- those a little smaller.
- 11 MR. FRANK: That's it.
- MR. WINTER: Okay. Are there any other
- 13 questions?
- 14 (No response)
- MR. SMITH: I'll make a motion.
- MR. WINTER: We have a motion.
- 17 MR. SMITH: I'd like to make a motion to
- 18 extend a favorable recommendation on the final
- 19 PUD plat of Lot 1 Meyer Subdivision.
- MR. SULA: I'll second.
- MR. WINTER: At this time I'd ask that we
- take a roll-call vote on the motion.
- 23 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Finn.
- MR. FINN: Aye.

- 1 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith. 2 MR. SMITH: Aye. 3 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula. 4 MR. SULA: Aye. 5 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp. 6 MR. PAPP: Aye. 7 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon. 8 MR. CEPON: Aye. 9 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter. 10 MR. WINTER: Abstain. Motion carries. 11 MR. FRANK: Thank you very much. 12 MR. WINTER: Continuing with the agenda now we're at item No. 5. 13 14 This, again, is seeking a final PUD 15 plat. This for a lot No. 4, Almond Plaza 16 Subdivision for a Pool A Rama. 17 The subject site contains 1.75 acres 18 and is located immediately west of the Gurnee
- The review of the final site

District, zoning.

23 development plan is required prior to issuing a

Dodge property on Grand Avenue. It is zoned PUD

with underlying C/B-2, Community Business

24 construction permit. It is proposed that a

19

20

1	one-story 16,400-square-foot retail structure is
2	proposed for the Pool A Rama operation. Access to
3	the site from Buchanan Drive which will be shared
4	with the future user of Lot 5 adjacent to the
5	west.
6	Again, I'd defer to Mr. Wildenberg
7	to bring the Commission up to date on the
8	proposal.
9	MR. WILDENBERG: Again, this is a
10	commercial PUD like you saw in the previous items
11	There are various standards and objectives that
12	need to be met and demonstrated and be carried
13	forward in this project.
14	I know the architects are here
15	tonight to walk you through the site plans and
16	answer any questions you might have about the
17	operation.
18	As this particular use wasn't the
19	subject matter of a public hearing before, you may
20	want to take a look at the plans and have a little
21	more explanation as to the operation itself.
22	MR. WINTER: Okay. Do we have somebody
23	here on behalf of the petitioner? Anyone from

1	(No response)
2	MR. WINTER: I've been directed that
3	someone is or at least it was thought that someone
4	will be here on this item.
5	At this point I think the Chair will
6	leave this item and we'll wait to see if someone
7	does appear for Item No. 5. We'll move on to item
8	No. 6 on the agenda. This is the first public
9	hearing for tonight.
10	There is a little change in
11	procedure for public hearings. Anyone that would
12	like to present questions or talk to the Plan
13	Commission has to first be sworn in, and we'll ask
14	everyone to stand at the appropriate time, and we
15	will have to have everyone use the microphone.
16	There's two of them in the room, one in the center
17	and one behind the stenographer, for the
18	presenters.
19	We'd ask that you please state your
20	name and address the first time you make your

21	comment.
22	With that introduction, item No. 6,
23	Petition of Centrum Equities, petition for a
24	special use permit to allow principally three
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	17
1	things: A.) Establishment of a third ground
2	mounted sign; B.) Aggregate site signage to
3	exceed 1,000 square feet; and c.) A drive-through
4	pharmacy for a proposed Walgreens store on
5	property located at the northeast corner of Route
6	21 and Grand Avenue.
7	By way of background and to bring us
8	up to date on current zoning we have
9	Mr. Wildenberg that will describe this petition in
10	further detail.
11	MR. WILDENBERG: This particular property
12	is currently zoned C/B-2, Community Business
13	District. It's a little different than the first
14	two properties you've seen tonight where they're
15	planning new development with the underlying C/B-2
16	zoning. This is what we call straight C/B-2
17	zoning.

It also currently contains Grand

19	National Bank and Ace Hardware store. Walgreens
20	is looking to locate between those existing two
21	uses on the property. The drug store is permitted
22	by right in the C/B-2 District to be built subject
23	to issuance of a building permit.
24	However, the drive-through aspect of
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	their operation of the proposal is subject to
2	review via special use permit hearing process.
3	In addition, the placement of a
4	third ground sign on the corner lot, this is
5	subject to a special use petition. And, thirdly,
6	the proposal to exceed 1,000 square feet of
7	signage aggregate on the property is the subject
8	of a special use request.
9	In this particular case as it is
10	currently drawn they're requesting 1,095 square
11	feet.
12	Since this is a public hearing we
13	will be willing to swear in anyone giving
14	testimony or representing questions.
15	MR. WINTER: All right. At this time I'd

16	ask anyone that will speak in front of the Plan
17	Commission to please rise and raise your right
18	hand. This is just for agenda item No. 6
19	regarding the Centrum Equities request.
20	(Witnesses sworn)
21	MR. WINTER: At this time if a
22	representative of the petitioner would address the
23	Plan Commission.
24	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Good evening,
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	16
1	Mr. Chairman. My name is Mary Koperstein. I am
2	the general counsel for Centrum Properties.
3	We have several witnesses this
4	evening that can explain the project and respond
5	to your questions, representatives of our
6	architectural firm, Hirsch Associates Architects,
7	Robert Zacharius who is with Centrum and has been
8	working with the Village on this property and also
9	our consulting engineer.
10	As you indicated one of the things
11	involved here is a request for a special use for
12	the drive-through aspect of the drugstore. I

13

would note that in this zoning district

14	drive-through operations as permitted for a number
15	of specified uses, banks being one of them. And I
16	think by virtue of the fact that your ordinance
17	didn't specifically include a drive-through
18	pharmacy as a permitted use it becomes a special
19	use.
20	But I think you'll see from our
21	testimony this evening that this particular use is
22	not as intensive as other drive-throughs that are
23	already permitted here as a matter of right.
24	With respect to the signage, this is

17

1	the second aspect of the special use permit due,
2	in fact, I think, to the fact that we do not have
3	separate lots. We have multiple buildings on one
4	lot which is permitted under your regulations but
5	which causes us to exceed them, the total amount
6	of signage, because we have three users.
7	With that I'm going to turn it over
8	to Mr. Zacharius who can explain the site plan and
9	operations in more detail.

MR. ZACHARIUS: Hi. Robert Zacharius.

11	Nice to be in front of you.
12	MR. WINTER: Mr. Zacharius, that mike,
13	you can detach it.
14	MR. ZACHARIUS: That's good. One of the
15	things we did was we made photo reproductions,
16	color reproductions of the plans, so that as we're
17	showing them to you on the overhead you'll be able
18	to follow through with us, maybe make it a little
19	bit easier.
20	As I say it's nice to be here
21	tonight just because of the amount of time that we
22	have spent with staff over the last six or eight
23	months working together, trying to come up with a
24	plan and again for a Walgreen's drugstore that

18

will sit in between the current uses that already
exist there today, which is the Ace Hardware and
Grand National Bank.
We are authorized agents for Jim
Regas, the owner of the property. We have been
working on his behalf with the staff to design
something that the Plan Commission -- we hope the

Plan Commission and the Village Board will find

9	acceptable.
10	During the last six months our
11	consultants have worked with specifically Tracy
12	Velkover in regard to the site plan, the tree
13	preservation/tree replacement plan and the
14	lighting photometric plan, all of which we have
15	come to agreement on in theory. We've also dealt
16	with Bud Reed in regard to the engineering plan.
17	Therefore, we come to you tonight to
18	present what's evolved with a lot of hard work
19	between our consultants and your staff and what we
20	hope meets with your approval and eventually the
21	Village Board's approval and recommendation.
22	Therefore, let me introduce Howard
23	Hirsch. Howard is president of Hirsch Associates.

He's the architect on the project, and he and his

19

- 1 staff have worked with Tracy Velkover a number of
- 2 months now to come up with a plan that hopefully
- 3 you will find acceptable. Howard.
- 4 MR. HIRSCH: Thank you very much. And
- 5 for the record my name is Howard Hirsch. I'm the

0	president of Hirsch Associates Architecture and
7	Planning located at 225 West Hubbard Street in
8	Chicago.
9	On the overhead we have the plan in
10	question for the Walgreen's store. I'd like to
11	just sort of orient everyone to make sure that
12	everyone understands exactly what we're proposing.
13	The plan is bounded on the south by Grand Avenue
14	and on the west by Route 21 which is known as
15	Riverside Drive at that location.
16	Within the site itself there is an
17	existing Grand National Bank on the west side of
18	the property and Ace Hardware on the east side of
19	the property.
20	The site is bounded by a multifamily
21	residential development to the north, the
22	Des Plaines River and public lands to the east and
23	a variety of shopping-type uses and gas stations
24	to the south and to the west.

1	Currently, there are there's a
2	curb cut at the northwest corner of the site and a
3	curb cut at the southwest corner of the site along

4	Riverside Drive. These are remaining and are not
5	being changed as part of this proposal.
6	Along Grand Avenue there are
7	currently three locations where there are curb
8	cuts. We have been working with IDOT and have
9	come to the relocation of two of those curb cuts
10	to a single curb cut at this location aligned with
11	the front of the Ace Hardware store thereby
12	reducing the number of curb cuts by one.
13	The Walgreens store itself is a
14	13,905-square-foot building located between Grand
15	National Bank and the Ace Hardware store.
16	As part of our development and
17	proposal we are doing a significant amount of
18	renovation work or upgrading of the existing
19	parking lots in this area.
20	Our plan shows dashed or shaded the
21	areas that are existing that are to remain and
22	really focus in on the area of change located in
23	the center of the site.
24	The north portion of the site is

1	currently an area that has been undeveloped.
2	Portions of it are within a floodplain, portions
3	as it goes down to the river. Our engineer has
4	been working with Bud Reed in resolving the issues
5	regarding grading and floodplain.
6	The parking lot is really broken up
7	into two areas, a primary area to the south of the
8	Walgreens which will serve not only Walgreens but
9	Ace and the Grand National Bank as well as the
10	area to the east of Walgreens.
11	As you can see we've worked very
12	closely with Tracy Velkover on the landscape plan
13	which we can present to you later very large
14	islands of landscaping located throughout the
15	parking lot to really break it up, a significant
16	improvement over what's there now.
17	If you're aware of it, there is
18	basically a field of asphalt currently there, and
19	we still keep a very large area of trees. They're
20	really it's hard to call them trees. A lot of
21	them are shrubs and weed-type trees, but they will
22	remain to the north and the east keeping the
23	screening that is naturally there to the
24	residential development to the northwest.

1	The existing pylon signs on the
2	site, there are two currently. One is located
3	here for the Ace Hardware store, and one is
4	located at this location for Grand National Bank.
5	The third pylon sign that we're
6	proposing is located directly at the corner.
7	Because of the location of the other two pylon
8	signs being split and really working more closely
9	for those particular users, we felt that the
10	corner pylon sign not only was acceptable but
11	desirable.
12	It will be located within a
13	landscaped area and will really not interfere with
14	any vision or traffic or any other issues that
15	could come from pylon signs.
16	The total parking counts that will
17	be provided for the center is 271 cars, which is
18	in excess of the requirement that would be
19	required for all three of the buildings together
20	on the site.
21	The drive-through that currently
22	exists for the bank will remain as is. In fact,
23	we've created a landscape buffer between the
24	Walgreens and the bank to assure that there is

1	proper stacking capability for the bank's
2	drive-through and to make sure none of our traffic
3	interferes with the bank's drive-through
4	activities. The Walgreens drive-through is
5	located on the east side of building towards the
6	north end.
7	There is plenty of stacking per the
8	ordinance to allow for the six cars. And we think
9	that the overall development of the site, the
10	locations of the buildings, the improvement to the
11	parking lot and especially the improvements to the
12	landscaping will dramatically improve the current
13	condition of the shopping center.
14	I apologize for the color. It
15	doesn't come out very well on the overheads.
16	These are the front and side
17	elevations which would be the southwest and the
18	northwest elevations of the proposed Walgreen's
19	building.
20	The building is built predominantly
21	of brick with double porous base and double porous
22	band at the top of the window. The entrance to

- the building which is located at the southwest
- 24 corner is defined by a couple of brick columns and

1	a projecting glass base that would house a logo
2	for Walgreens behind it.
3	It's something that we think is very
4	attractive and that is a somewhat subtle way of
5	getting a corporate image for Walgreens and
6	something that is very good-looking and that
7	really brings the scale of building to an
8	appropriate scale.
9	There's a series of windows on the
10	north and on the west that started about 7 feet in
11	height and go to 13 feet in height and then EIFS
12	or drive-it as some people know it, a side band
13	that extends over the windows both on the
14	southwest elevation and the west elevation.
15	The signage that we're showing here
16	is in keeping with the signage that was permitted
17	in the Walgreens in other developments in Gurnee
18	It's reduced significantly from what the standard
19	Walgreens prototype signage would be, and we
20	really feel that it's in scale with the building

21	and in keeping with the building as well as the
22	distance that the building is from Grand Avenue
23	and the visibility of it.
24	Again, the entire building is made
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	25
1	of brick other than the few side bands that are on
2	the front, and we think especially considering
3	that the majority of the buildings, especially the
4	north side and the east side that are pretty well
5	hidden by the bank and by Ace Hardware that this
6	is a very high quality building and something that
7	will greatly enhance the existing shopping
8	center.
9	This is looking at the rear and east
10	side elevations. As you can see the drive-through
11	itself also has a small canopy that extends over
12	it for the protection of the passengers using
13	the drivers using the facility, and then the rear
14	of the facility is, again, all brick, high
15	parapets that will be used to help screen the
16	rooftop units.
17	The servicing is all occurring over

18	through a small overhead door and a single man
19	door at this location. There is not a lot of
20	servicing activity.
21	The trash itself then is located in
22	the back, and then a trash compactor would be
23	located in this area. It's not been shown for
24	clarity of the building's sake but the compactor
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	26
1	itself is very small and is located within an
2	8-foot-high cedar enclosed fence area.
3	There is very little trash that is
4	accumulated from the Walgreens, and the compactor
5	itself is hidden from view. It's also located,
6	again, on the north side of the building away from
7	the visibility of the parking lot or of the
8	streets.
9	Briefly to review the signage and
10	what we're requesting, the what you see here is
11	the standard Walgreens sign which is located, one
12	on the east north south elevation and one on
13	the west elevation.
14	There are two smaller pharmacy signs
15	and one-hour closed sign, a drive-through pharmacy

16	sign that's located simply to direct people to the
17	drive-through and then the graphic which is
18	located behind the window.
19	The total signage along the what
20	we call the southwest elevation which is located
21	over here is 181.4 square feet. The total signage
22	on the west elevation which is simply the
23	Walgreens sign is 74.5 square feet, and then there
24	is a small directional sign on the canopy itself

1	which does not count for the ordinance.
2	Therefore, our total building
3	signage is 255.9 square feet which is really quite
4	a small amount considering the size of the
5	building and its location.
6	The pylon sign located here is a
7	20-foot-high pylon sign. We've been working with
8	staff to try to upgrade it by putting a brick base
9	with landscaping around it.
10	The main portion of the sign is 4
11	feet by 14 feet which says Walgreens on it, and
12	then there is a reader board which is a manual

13	reader board that's 4-foot-3-by-10 foot. Its
14	total signage size again is 99.83 square feet per
15	sign. That times two sides is slightly under 200
16	square feet.
17	When we do the calculations for the
18	entire shopping center including Ace Hardware and
19	Grand National Bank we find that our signage comes
20	to just slightly above the maximum allowed 1,000
21	square feet.
22	Mary indicated previously that we're
23	somewhat at a disadvantage here because we have
24	three separate buildings within the site as
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

1	opposed to one building on each of three sites.
2	If we were to do it just one
3	building on each of three sites we would meet the
4	requirements of the ordinance and not be requiring
5	a special use.
6	And, again, regarding the pylon
7	sign, we really believe that it's in keeping with
8	a shopping center of this size and the fact that
9	the existing two pylon signs are spread out around
10	the corner and not directly located at the corner,

11	that this is a logical place to place the third
12	one and that we hopefully will be granted that.
13	Mary touched upon the drive-through
14	use as a special use. There is not much more to
15	say about that. Again, a bank's drive-through use
16	is an allowed use, and this will have
17	significantly less traffic than a bank's
18	drive-through.
19	We also have with us here tonight
20	Dan Weinbach from Weinbach and Associates. He is
21	our landscape architect. We have our landscape
22	plan up. We're not going to go through and give a
23	detailed presentation unless you request that.
24	We have been working very closely

29

with Tracy Velkover and working on not only a true
presentation plan but also the species and size
and locations of the landscaping. And this is the
result that you see here today.

We also have with us Tracey from Don
Manhardt's office, and he has been working with
Bud Reed. And we have a landscape plan which is

8	I mean an engineering plan which is located
9	down here. And, again, we feel we've been working
10	with staff and we've come to agreements with
11	them. And if you'd like a presentation on it, we
12	can give it to you. But instead of wasting your
13	time, we'll ask you if that's what you'd like to
14	hear.
15	MR. WINTER: Well, in terms of the
16	landscape plan, you say you have someone here
17	tonight?
18	MR. HIRSCH: Yes.
19	MR. WINTER: Could they give us a brief
20	overview of that.
21	MR. WEINBACH: Thank you. My name is Dan
22	Weinbach, Daniel Weinbach, of Daniel Weinbach and
23	Partners. We're located at 53 West Jackson,
24	Chicago.

1	You see to the left here the
2	landscape plan. It is fairly it is very
3	heavily landscaped for this sort of project. The
4	reason for that is there are a number of trees in
5	these areas to be preserved. There is a detention

6	area, and then there is one zoned here where trees
7	will be taken down.
8	As was mentioned earlier these are
9	not high quality trees. They're predominantly box
10	elders, cottonwood and Siberian elm. So there
11	were a few inches worth of trees that are of some
12	quality.
13	We are replacing those inch for inch
14	for the quality trees and 50 percent of the inches
15	for the rest of the trees. This was the agreement
16	reached with the Village. So as a result we do
17	have fairly large trees we're installing.
18	All the trees you see in the parking
19	lot are four-inch trees. And so we have exceeded
20	the number of inches that was requested OF us.
21	Predominantly it is a since it's
22	a parking lot, there are islands with trees and
23	ground cover in the islands. All of these islands
24	contain ground cover, and each one contains two

- 1 shade trees. There are some along the back edge
- where this area will be disturbed.

3	We're replacing, again, with
4	four-inch trees, maples on the back edge here, and
5	around the detention there will be river birch
6	trees.
7	The rest of the trees in the parking
8	lot are honey locusts in the vicinity of the
9	building itself and white ash and green ash around
10	the outer edges.
11	There is also a sort of transition
12	area between the two buildings which contain shade
13	trees and a few evergreen trees to provide some
14	separation between the buildings. I think that
15	should cover it.
16	MR. ZACHARIUS: Let me just state for the
17	record since we forgot to do so, Mary Koperstein,
18	(phonetic) general counsel for Centrum, and myself
19	reside 225 West Hubbard in Chicago. Howard Hirsch
20	of Hirsch and Associates also resides at 225 West
21	Hubbard in Chicago.
22	MR. WINTER: If I could impose on the
23	petitioner as long as someone is here from
24	Manhardt and they have a diagram, again, could we

1	receive a brief presentation with regards to
2	MR. WEINBACH: Absolutely. Tracey
3	Richards will come on up and present the plan.
4	MR. RICHARDS: The proposed development
5	is well-situated as far as from an engineering
6	standpoint from both service for sanitary sewer
7	and water, conventional service to the building,
8	basically by virtue of the fact that the existing
9	development's already there and has driven the
10	need or provided the existing sanitary water
11	facilities for it.
12	Sanitary sewers off the existing
13	sewer should be located to the north. Water is
14	already on the site and is served by the proposed
15	main. And storm will be storm drainage from
16	this site comes basically the height of the
17	site is to the northwest corner going down to the
18	river.
19	And we will follow the same pattern
20	in terms of that drainage. The access which
21	really has already been discussed in terms of
22	traffic for the site will come into the one
23	centralized point off of Grand or from the
24	Riverside Drive location and therefore, again,

- 1 reducing the number of accesses off of Grand
- 2 Avenue in terms of traffic.
- But, in general, the site is
- 4 well-situated from an engineering standpoint.
- 5 There's not too many issues there that are fresh,
- 6 new or need to be discussed, and I can probably
- 7 better answer direct questions or specific
- 8 questions.
- 9 MR. WINTER: Okay. Thank you. At this
- point before we open it up to the public for
- 11 comments and questions, I'd ask the Plan
- 12 Commission are there any questions, direct
- 13 questions, for any of the presenters?
- Mr. Smith.
- MR. SMITH: Yes. On the sign, now, you
- said a reader sign, it does not flash, does not
- 17 blink?
- 18 MR. ZACHARIUS: No.
- MR. SMITH: Does not go off?
- 20 MR. ZACHARIUS: It is a solid reader
- board. They change the lettering once, twice per
- 22 week.
- MR. WINTER: Mr. Sula.
- MR. SULA: I was wondering when you

34

1	talked a little bit about the placement of the
2	building. It just seems like it, you know, juts
3	out there a little bit. I was wondering if there
4	was any consideration to using an imaginary line
5	from the easternmost corner of the bank to the
6	northwestern corner of Ace Hardware as kind of a
7	frontage line trying to keep the building north of
8	there.
9	MR. ZACHARIUS: Again, I could have
10	Howard talk to this a little more directly. But
11	the more we try to bring the building up front the
12	less parking we have for the three users.
13	MR. SULA: I'm suggesting pushing it
14	back.
15	MR. ZACHARIUS: Oh, pushing it back
16	further?
17	MR. SULA: Yeah.
18	MR. HIRSCH: Well, when we actually
19	looked at that, Jim, there's a couple
20	considerations as to why we put the building where
21	we did.

One is the visibility to the

- Walgreens itself. If the building was to be
- 24 placed further back, the primary visibility -- if

1	you know Walgreens, they're always looking to go
2	on the corner site. This is not a corner site, so
3	it's somewhat of an unusual situation for them.
4	By putting them here it increases
5	the visibility at least to the entranceway and the
6	front elevation of the Walgreen's.
7	Second, the more we push the
8	building back the more we eat into the natural
9	landscape vegetation that is further back there.
10	That was one of the things that we discussed very
11	early with the Village to try to minimize the
12	impact on that portion of the site especially when
13	it comes to the residential to the north and
14	keeping a buffer in that area.
15	And in some sense by pulling the
16	building a little closer to the corner we haven't
17	hurt the visibility either to the Ace Hardware or
18	to the bank from any of the access points. And we
19	think, you know, most communities are always

20	looking at us to pull the buildings as close as
21	possible to the street and not to set them back as
22	far as possible.
23	We think that proportionally it's
24	going to look better this way, and it creates a
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	36
1	nice field of parking in front and has a nice
2	scale to the center.
3	MR. WINTER: Any other questions from the
4	Plan Commission?
5	(No response)
6	MR. WINTER: If not, at this time we
7	would like to open the up the floor for public
8	comments or questions. I would just remind
9	everyone to please state your name and address
10	before you make your comment.
11	MS. THOMA: Barbara Thoma, 1883 Gatewood.
12	I have a question regarding the storage currently
13	now used as outdoor storage area. Is there any
14	kind of restrictions like we placed on outdoor
15	storage or displays?
16	Another question is I don't know if
17	there is going to be liquor sales here. I don't

18	know. I can't remember if liquor is a special
19	use, but I wonder if liquor sales going to be
20	permitted; what the pharmacy hours are.
21	The trash compactor, did you say how
22	far out the trash compactor and the fencing for
23	the trash compactor came out? I'd like that
24	repeated. Any kind of a problem as far as the

1	drive-through as far as the sight problems, people
2	coming through the drive-through around the
3	corner? And what is the hours of the operation?
4	Thank you.
5	MR. WINTER: Again, what we'll do is we
6	collect these questions. You can certainly make
7	comments. But if you have specific question we
8	collect those questions and then give the
9	petitioner an opportunity to answer them at the
10	end of the public session.
11	Is there any other comments or
12	questions from the public?
13	(No response)
14	MR. WINTER: Okay. If not at this time

15	we would close the floor for any further public
16	comment. I guess we'd ask the petitioner if they
17	have any responses to the questions.
18	MR. ZACHARIUS: I'm going to try and see
19	if I got each and every one of them.
20	No. 1, as far as liquor goes there's
21	no liquor sales within the Walgreen's drugstore.
22	No. 2, hours of operation. Again
23	that's dependent on Walgreen's operational people
24	and what they believe will best service this
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	market.
2	Normally, their traditional stores
3	are open from 9 in the morning until 10 at night.
4	Some if the market demands it are open 24 hours.
5	I don't know at this point in time
6	what they're what they will be planning for
7	this store. Again, I can find that out for you or
8	I can try to see if they can predict the future.
9	A lot of times they start with one type of
10	operation and may switch to the other depending on
11	the demands of that unit.
12	As far as the storage, again, a

13	marked improvement over what exists today in a
14	number ways as far as what curb cuts exist, as far
15	as the landscaping and everything else that we
16	worked on here. One of the staff's concerns was
17	the amount of storage that currently Ace uses.
18	We have worked with Ace Hardware and
19	Jim Regas, and what we are planning on doing as
20	far as two things is, No. 1, outdoor sales.
21	Evidently the Ace Hardware had been using a lot of
22	area for outdoor sales. What we have done is
23	limited that, their outdoor sales area, to these
24	two sections. Those parking spaces or parking

39

1	areas are not within our count. As we said we
2	still exceed the count of parking that is needed.
3	So as far as the outdoor sales that
4	goes on during those summer months and then more
5	or less taking over the parking lot, that will be
6	controlled now.
7	No. 2, the question of outdoor
8	storage was brought up and the fact that there is

storage now taking place here, here, here, here.

10	That is going to be fine, and we have worked with
11	Jim Regas, and I believe most the storage is going
12	to be along this wall and maybe some along
13	again, we'll work with staff, but right now we're
14	planning on keeping the storage back in the rear
15	out of the way.
16	Again, for Walgreens stores there is
17	no outdoor storage so that should not be a concern
18	for anybody as far as Walgreens storage goes. Bu
19	I know staff has had a concern with the amount of
20	storage space that Ace is using. And Jim Regas
21	said at any point in time that we do get the
22	approval or that you seek to want that control, he
23	is willing to work to work with Ace to get that
24	control in the nature that staff sees fit.

1	As far as drive-through circulation,
2	the drive-through No. 1, being that my
3	background was with McDonald's for over seven
4	years it's a little bit different than a fast
5	food operation or a banking operation. Really,
6	what they do is try to use it as a marketing tool.
7	It services seniors, it services

8	mothers children and services people that are sick
9	that really don't want to get out of their car and
10	walk in with a high-grade fever or what have you.
11	For the amount of expense they put
12	out for that drive-through window, the peak time
13	is 5:00 to 7:00 at night, and they do a peak
14	drive-through period of eight cars per hour. It
15	is a minimal use operation. They hope in the
16	future that demand will pick up and that's why
17	they do plan for a double drive-through.
18	They're spending dollars today for
19	hopefully awareness, customer awareness, and that
20	people will use it more predominantly in the
21	future. However, again, it is a drive-through
22	that only services prescription drugs, no other
23	items within the store.
24	MR. HIRSCH: I think the last item was

- 1 about the trash. Again, the trash is located on
- 2 north side of the building with the entrance to
- 3 the trash on the west side of it. It is a cedar
- 4 8-foot-high enclosure. There are two manually

5	operating swing doors on this side, and it extends
6	out of the building approximately 12 feet.
7	There is absolutely no conflict
8	between truck movement and car movement. We've
9	got a huge distance here for sight lines.
10	A person using the drive-through has
11	a choice of either exiting west and out onto
12	Riverside Drive or exiting east and back into the
13	parking lot and out onto Grand Avenue.
14	Again, because the back of the
15	compactor is facing east, in other words, all the
16	activity occurs on the west side of it, we really
17	see no conflict whatsoever between any cars that
18	might be sitting here and in terms of seeing the
19	building and the parking fields that are on the
20	east and on the south.
21	MR. WINTER: Okay. At this point are
22	there any additional questions from the Plan
23	Commissioners?
24	Mr. Sula.

- 1 MR. SULA: Just going through what we're
- 2 specifically asked to vote on. I don't have any

3	trouble with the third ground sign. As it relates
4	to aggregate site signage, I should say I'm having
5	a little bit of a hard time understanding the
6	justification that is based on their disadvantage
7	because of the other two businesses.
8	If you look at the total signage of
9	1,095 square feet being proposed and you back off
10	what Walgreens is asking for 455, that basically
11	says the other two are using 640 square feet which
12	is less than two-thirds of the total allowed. So
13	I'm having a hard time understanding how the other
14	two are taking more than their fair share if you
15	will.
16	With regards to the drive-through,
17	I'm feeling a little disadvantaged in terms of
18	being able to address one of the key items that
19	typically comes up in a special use on traffic
20	flow. We don't have traffic reports in any of our
21	materials in terms of understanding where they're
22	coming from on this thing.
23	MR. WINTER: Okay. Well, with respect to
24	the sign, Jim, I thought I kind of interpreted

1	what was being said if these were stand-alone
2	properties they would be allowed to have this
3	signage that they requested.
4	If they were three separate
5	properties I think maybe they're thinking is that
6	it is not excessive, that if the property had
7	three different property owners they would be able
8	to have the amount of signs that they are
9	requesting.
10	I mean, I certainly agree with you
11	that their signage certainly will exceed the other
12	two businesses. And
13	MR. SULA: It's just it seems to me that
14	1,000 square feet was originally set up, that was
15	something that seemed reasonable for that
16	particular site.
17	We've got two businesses that seem
18	to be able to live with significantly less square
19	footage individually, and I'm just having a really
20	hard time with seeing why they need 50 percent
21	more than anybody else.
22	MR. WINTER: Mr. Wildenberg.
23	MR. WILDENBERG: Yeah. Just to clarify
24	maybe a little bit about that 1 000 square feet

- 1 That was placed in the sign ordinance as a
- 2 trigger. It doesn't necessarily relate to the
- 3 overall size of the project being large or small.
- 4 It's a trigger to get proposals in here that are
- 5 proposing 1,000 square feet into the special use
- 6 realm. It wasn't specifically set forward to deal
- 7 with this exact project. It's a basic element for
- 8 our sign ordinance for all over town.
- 9 MR. SULA: Uh-hum.
- MR. WINTER: Comments on the traffic?
- MR. WILDENBERG: We did not engage our
- traffic engineer to take a look at this one.
- 13 Basically it's a little more mild in nature than
- maybe a drive-through restaurant you might see.
- 15 As the petitioner pointed out you have certain
- uses in C/B-2 that are allowed to do a
- drive-through by right including the bank and the
- 18 dry-cleaning store.
- The key thing that we look at is the
- amount of stacking space available behind the
- 21 service windows. We look for at least five spaces
- behind service windows. It allows for one person
- being serviced plus five stacked behind. Generally
- 24 that renders somewhere around 100-foot of stacking

1	space. When you scale it out on the plan you'll
2	see they are able to provide 100 feet of stacking
3	space behind the service windows.
4	The flow to the site is you know,
5	they're redoing the parking lot. I think they've
6	got some good flow to the site. The curb cuts are
7	set. They will not be changed. Those are both
8	state highway cuts, and there will probably not be
9	any modifications where those are. They're taking
10	an existing situation and dropping a use like this
11	into it in a pretty good fashion.
12	As I think as they pointed out here
13	if you're looking at peak hours, the eight, cars
14	from 5 to 7 at night with two windows open even
15	if only window is open you're probably going to
16	get those cars in and out of there without coming
17	close to stacking five behind the one being
18	serviced. So it's a relatively good layout, and,
19	really, I really have confidence it's going to
20	function correctly.
21	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?

22	Just a follow-up question. You said
23	that currently the peak is eight cars. Do you
24	have any projections or as to what you feel the

1	capacity would be for the proposed drive-through?
2	MR. ZACHARIUS: We don't have projections
3	again, that's peak time. That's after work on
4	the way home. People are picking up their
5	prescriptions. It's eight cars per hour or one
6	per seven and a half minutes. If they're using
7	both drive-throughs that's one every 15 minutes.
8	An average McDonald's or Burger King
9	or Wendy's during peak time will do between 100
10	and 200 cars per hour. So the amount of cars
11	going through the drive-through window is
12	negligible.
13	However, they have found it's a
14	market niche that they're exploiting along with
15	the freestanding building that they're
16	exploiting. You'll no longer see in-line
17	Walgreens. They are going into freestanding
18	prototypical units with drive-throughs. If they
19	can't get that they will go somewhere else.

20	Again, the drive-through is a
21	marketing tool more than something that's going to
22	create anything adverse for your municipality.
23	Again, I think with the fact that
24	with the new Walgreens you're reducing the curb

1	cut amounts by two that traffic flow on this
2	corner can only get better than worse as far as
3	traffic is concerned.
4	MR. WINTER: I guess I'm not one of the
5	enlightened drive-through users. Is the concept
6	that you would have a prescription called in or
7	dropped off, and then you just pick it up, right?
8	MR. ZACHARIUS: That's correct. You
9	don't just drop it off and wait for the
10	prescription to be filled. It's a system where
11	either the pharmacist or the user calls in to
12	renew a prescription, or it's the user or customer
13	or a pharmacist that calls in a new prescription
14	and you go there and ask is it ready or is it soon
15	to be ready, when is it supposed to be ready. You
16	go to the drive-up window and pick it up. It's

17	that simple. And, again, it's limited to pharmacy
18	items only.
19	MR. WINTER: Mr. Sula.
20	MR. SULA: I just have a follow-up. If I
21	understand what you just said, I believe you said
22	that the drive-through was nice to have, not a
23	necessity to do business as a drugstore.

24

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

MR. ZACHARIUS: I'm sure some drugstores

48

1	feel that way. Walgreens feels it's a necessity
2	to do business. And they are not what they are
3	doing is they're leaving places where they're
4	in-line today, and they're relocating to corner
5	locations where they can build freestanding
6	prototypical units with a drive-through window.
7	MR. SULA: I guess what I'm struggling
8	with is this whole concept. Within the Zoning
9	Ordinance special use I believe there has to be a
10	commercial viability issue that has to be pretty
11	profound, that if a special use wasn't granted
12	that it significantly impacts the ability to
13	conduct business.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.

15	MR. WINTER: Mr. Smith.
16	MR. SMITH: It's just that I've had the
17	opportunity to use it on a couple of occasions.
18	It was raining, and it was nice. You didn't have
19	to get the out of the car, didn't have to walk
20	through the rain. Pull up there and pick up. It
21	was really nice on those two occasions when it was
22	raining. It would be a real convenience.
23	MR. ZACHARIUS: It is a tremendous
24	convenience for some users. Other users still

1	like to go and meet face-to-face with the
2	pharmacist. So depending upon what type of
3	customer you are, you'll either find it a
4	tremendous convenience as I do to be able to pull
5	in and not have to walk through a store to go back
6	and pick up a prescription and just be able to
7	drive up to a window and pay for your prescription
8	at a window, or you'll find it to where other
9	customers like to go back and have a face-to-face
10	conversation with a pharmacist.
11	So I think it's giving, you know,

12	all customers the best of both worlds.
13	MR. WINTER: Okay. Any other questions
14	for the petitioners?
15	(No response)
16	MR. WINTER: Again, this matter appears
17	for public hearing for a limited number of
18	issues. Seeing no other questions, the Chair
19	certainly would entertain a motion regarding item
20	No. 6.
21	MR. SMITH: I would like to make a motion
22	we pass on a favorable recommendation regarding
23	the sign for 1,095 square feet and a drive-through
24	for the Walgreen's at the corner of 21 and 132.
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	50
1	MR. SULA: Do we need three separate

1	MR. SULA: Do we need three separate
2	motions for this?
3	MR. WINTER: Does everyone understand the
4	current motion?
5	MR. SULA: Do we need three separate
6	motions for this?
7	MR. WINTER: No. I think it is actually
8	the same petition, and so I believe that the
9	motion as made is an adequate one.

10	Is there a second to that motion?
11	MR. CEPON: I'll second.
12	MR. WINTER: Second to that motion. Any
13	further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. WINTER: If not, I'd call for a
16	roll-call vote.
17	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Finn.
18	MR. FINN: Aye.
19	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith.
20	MR. SMITH: Aye.
21	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula.
22	MR. SULA: Nay.
23	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp.
24	MR. PAPP: Aye.
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	51

1 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon.

- 2 MR. CEPON: Aye.
- 3 MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter.
- 4 MR. WINTER: Aye. Motion carries. I
- 5 would like to thank the petitioners.
- 6 I would inform the public that this

7	Board will only make recommendations. This will
8	next proceed to the Board of Trustees. It may not
9	be the next meeting but within the next month
10	usually after it is forwarded to the Board of
11	Trustees.
12	Moving on to item No. 7. This is
13	another public hearing. It is with regard to the
14	petition Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. This is a
15	petition for a special use permit to allow the
16	establishment and operation of an outdoor tool
17	rental center on property located at 6625 Grand
18	Avenue.
19	The Home Depot store is zoned as a
20	PUD with underlying C/B-2 District zoning. Under
21	this particular PUD a special use permit is
22	required for outdoor storage, a tool rental
23	operation proposed which would include expansion
24	of the enclosed building area and creation of a

- 1 21-foot-wide by 35-foot-long by
- 2 12-feet-8-inch-high concrete stucco enclosure with
- 3 gates along the front of the store.
- 4 Since this enclosure has no roof, it

5	would be construed as outdoor use under our
6	provisions.
7	Once again, we will follow the
8	format for public hearing, and we'll begin with
9	any additional comments from Mr. Wildenberg on
10	behalf the village staff.
11	MR. WILDENBERG: No additional comments
12	at this time. I do know the petitioner is here, a
13	representative of the petitioner is here. And
14	since it is a public hearing we would have to
15	swear in any witnesses.
16	MR. WINTER: Okay. At this time would
17	all persons attempting to give testimony, comments
18	or questions regarding this proposal please stand
19	and be sworn in by our village attorney.
20	(Witnesses sworn)
21	MR. WINTER: At this time if the
22	petitioner could address the Plan Commission by
23	stepping forward and using the microphone.
24	Please, you can use the easel or anything

53

1 else that's helpful.

3	Irving Muldowney. I'm an architect with Greenberg
4	Farrow.
5	MR. WINTER: If I could impose upon you.
6	There is a microphone there. Because we do have a
7	stenographer, it does help her accomplish making a
8	clear record.
9	MR. MULDOWNEY: As I said Irving
10	Muldowney, architect with Greenberg Farrow. We're
11	the architects for Home Depot.
12	Home Depot is proposing or desires
13	to bring in a new service to the community, that
14	is, one of a tool rental. To accomplish this
15	service they're requesting a small addition to the
16	building. The addition will take place on the
17	front which is indicated by the orange area here.
18	This area basically includes an existing portion
19	of the building which in this blowup is in orange.
20	This portion is existing.
21	The orange outline would be the
22	addition. The net addition to the building is
23	1,989 square feet. It curves within the sidewalk
24	area and slightly into the customer pickup area by

MR. MULDOWNEY: Good evening. My name is

2

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

1	approximately 4 feet at the most, and it occurs
2	between the existing vestibule to the west and the
3	one basically to the east.
4	The front of the building or the
5	height shall we say will be approximately
6	12-foot-8, and this portion of the addition is
7	enclosed with a roof and building. Access by the
8	customer is through the main entrance and through
9	a large overhead door that's located approximately
10	here.
11	The outdoor portion of it is the
12	outdoor portion is about 744 square feet which is
13	in this area. The orange area that you see there
14	is approximately 2,820 square feet of which 2,077
15	is enclosed.
16	The finish and the materials on the
17	front of the store will match the existing
18	building in appearance and the canopy roofs that
19	are there now will basically be extended across
20	the enclosed portion of the new addition.
21	We're also requesting approval of a
22	sign which will read tool rental, which is very
23	hard to see here. And it's indicated in two
24	locations but we're requesting approval for just

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	one sign for the option to locate it either over
2	the center entry here or over the lumber canopy.
3	To keep within the square footage
4	limitations of ancillary signs of 50 square feet,
5	we will be removing the word indoor on the
6	existing sign which now reads indoor lumberyard.
7	So we're actually not requesting approval of an
8	increase in area.
9	We are asking one other approval on
10	this for the addition. The addition of 1,989
11	square feet will require by your parking ordinance
12	a ten-car addition. Presently the shopping center
13	has eleven excess cars if you do not take into
14	account the seasonal sales.
15	In a previously approved special
16	use, Home Depot was granted permission to use a
17	10,000-square-foot area in the parking lot for
18	outdoor seasonal sales in the springtime. And
19	with that approval it was necessary for them to
20	land bank 79 cars in the open land in the areas
21	outlined in yellow.
22	What we're asking is that the
23	additional requirement of the ten cars be waived

56

1	been necessary with the outdoor sales here or has
2	not been a problem with parking, has not been
3	experienced I should say.
4	And, secondly, Home Depot
5	anticipates that 80 percent of the customers that
6	come to the tool rental are going to be the
7	customers for the store anyway, that the tool
8	rental is just an additional an additional
9	service.
10	Secondly, the Home Depot has had a
11	parking study done by Bart and Ashton on 26 stores
12	across the nation just to find out what for
13	their own benefit what their parking requirements
14	are, and if I may just read a short synopsis from
15	the report.
16	This was done on 26 existing Home
17	Depot stores in five market regions. The parking
18	surveys were conducted at sites on Friday and
19	Saturday in late April or May. These are the two
20	busiest months of Home Depot.

The parking accumulation ranged from

22 256 to 352 spaces in Texas stores, 284 to 392 in
23 Georgia stores, 253 to 350 in Florida, 310 to 384
24 in California and 332 to 467 in the northeast, the

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

57

1	northeast being the heaviest use.
2	This store as I said has 662 parking
3	spaces. Basically it never exceeds 400. However,
4	Home Depot has their own requirement that when
5	they do a store that they will provide a minimum
6	of 500 cars in absence of any parking regulation
7	shall we say. They want to have a decent cushion.
8	In this particular case they feel
9	that they have a cushion of 162 stores plus their
10	own 100 being 262. So the waiving of the ten
11	parking spaces for this addition seems to be very
12	minor especially when one considers that they have
13	a land bank for 79 cars to take care of the
14	outdoor sales should it ever be warranted.
15	If you have any questions I'd be
16	happy to answer them.
17	MR. WINTER: Okay. At this point before

we open the floor to public comment, do any of the

19	Commissioners have any questions at this time?
20	Mr. Papp.
21	MR. PAPP: Why don't you put a roof on a
22	tool rental?
23	MR. MULDOWNEY: There is a roof on the
24	tool rental except for
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	58
1	MD DADD. Thester what I'm tellving about
	MR. PAPP: That's what I'm talking about,
2	that area.
3	MR. MULDOWNEY: Pardon?
4	MR. PAPP: The 700 square feet.
5	MR. MULDOWNEY: Right. That's for the
6	large equipment, the Rototillers, the riding
7	tractor-type equipment. That's for the large
8	motorized
9	MR. PAPP: You said it's 12-foot it's
10	a 12-foot-high wall.
11	MR. MULDOWNEY: There's a 12-foot-8-high
12	wall with a gate in front of it.
13	MR. PAPP: I've never seen a
14	12-foot-8-inch Rototiller or tractor. I don't
15	quite understand.
16	MR. MULDOWNEY: We're keeping that wall

1/	the same height as the building wall addition for
18	the enclosed portion so it has the appearance of a
19	continuous wall and a continuous building.
20	MR. PAPP: Well, why don't you put a roof
21	over it. I don't understand why you don't put a
22	roof. Then you wouldn't have a problem.
23	MR. MULDOWNEY: When you say that, what
24	kind of a problem?
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	59
1	MR. PAPP: Well, is that not right if
2	that whole area is roofed they won't have to get
3	any permission?
4	MR. WILDENBERG: If it was fully enclosed
5	they could build it by right. It wouldn't require
6	a special use. It wouldn't be outdoor storage,
7	which is just permitted by right.
8	But they may have their reasons why
9	they prefer to have it out.
10	MR. PAPP: That's what I'm asking.
11	MR. MULDOWNEY: Well, I would take that
12	back to Home Depot. And basically the idea now is
13	that the bigger equipment and plus the fact

14	being motorized it most likely will have
15	gasoline and tanks. They don't like to have that
16	inside. But I'll take that back to them for
17	consideration and see what can be done from a fire
18	protection standpoint.
19	If we do put a roof over it, then we
20	get in trouble with the building codes and that
21	type of equipment. Now, even if I put a roof over
22	it and you say I can do as I like where does
23	that leave me in the parking?
24	MR. PAPP: I don't know. I didn't ask
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220 60
1	that question.
2	MR. MULDOWNEY: Okay. So I'm still here
3	requesting a parking variation.
4	MR. WINTER: Well and just so that
5	it's clear you have to present that through staff.
6	There may even be other roadblocks, too, that
7	would prevent you from doing it. I don't know
8	that that was looked into.
9	
	Mr. Cepon.
10	

12	What else would you basically what are you
13	renting, what type of tool?
14	MR. MULDOWNEY: Drills, half-inch drills,
15	saws, all sorts of power tools which would be
16	within this area, possibly motorized post-hole
17	diggers. I'm not up on the total of different
18	types of merchandise, but this would be the larger
19	gasoline power tools, and inside would be all the
20	other tools.
21	I would imagine it's going to be
22	maybe even ladders, wheelbarrows for all I know,
23	any type of tool.
24	MR. CEPON: Why don't you keep it inside?
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	61
1	Except for the fact of gasoline, why wouldn't you
2	just make this part of the regular store?
3	MR. MULDOWNEY: Because they don't have
4	room in the regular store to do it to be quite
5	honest.
6	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?
7	MR. SULA: Did you consider any other
8	location around the building for this particular

9	operation?
10	One concern I would have about this
11	would be that by its very nature there is probably
12	going to be a tendency for people to want to pull
13	up right to the building there and get out of the
14	car, take the tool back or bring the tool out.
15	I believe there's probably a fire
16	lane in front of the building there. I'm just
17	wondering if there is another place for it around
18	the perimeter that would be less of a safety
19	concern.
20	MR. MULDOWNEY: Well, around the garden
21	center we don't have the room for it here because
22	this is heavily traveled trucks and trucking at
23	this point.
24	Around the back it's not going to

- function from a customer standpoint. There is
 lighting in the back here.
 On the east side is a steep sloped
- 4 area which is all landscaped. We don't want to
- 5 start tearing into the landscaping there.
- 6 This is centrally -- this functions

7	centrally to the access for the customers and from
8	both entrances shall we say and the checkout.
9	MR. PAPP: But did you not say that the
10	bulk of that is accessed from the inside and not
11	the outside?
12	MR. MULDOWNEY: That's correct. You can
13	come in inside and you enter from the door here
14	and take care of your operations here.
15	MR. PAPP: And then where do you check
16	out?
17	MR. MULDOWNEY: You check out here. Now,
18	if it's a large piece of equipment or something,
19	yes. Then you'd come out here, and it would be
20	brought out and there is customer pickup area
21	still remaining here and can be picked up here.
22	This is not bumping out into the fire lane. There
23	is still the 30-feet fire lane plus an 8-foot
24	strip in front of the

- MR. SULA: So that's just the existingcustomer pickup spot?
- 3 MR. WINTER: I guess I had the same

4	question because of the way you have this located
5	very close to the exit for the main store and that

7 pickup lane, customer pickup lane.

8 MR. MULDOWNEY: Well, this is the area

you would be going at least four feet into the

right now that's being used for displays. Most of

10 the pickups are occurring in the front of the main

11 entries and exit ways. There is very little

12 customer pickup really occurring across that area.

MR. WINTER: I'm still unclear. Where is

the door out of this new proposed rental addition?

15 Is there a door that goes directly out to the

16 parking?

MR. MULDOWNEY: There is an exit door,

18 yes.

6

9

MR. WINTER: And where is that located?

MR. MULDOWNEY: That's located right

21 here. And there is a fire exit at this end.

MR. WINTER: That would also be for

23 heavier equipment?

MR. MULDOWNEY: No. The heavier

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

64

1 equipment is at this end.

2	MR. WINTER: You're going to actually
3	have three ways out?
4	MR. MULDOWNEY: Well, this is a pair of
5	gates that basically the customer will come out,
6	and an employee will bring the equipment out from
7	the storage area, the big stuff.
8	The smaller equipment is either
9	taken back out through the store, or they can exit
10	through a door directly to the parking lot. At
11	this point that's just a 3-foot normal customer
12	door.
13	MR. WINTER: Are there any questions?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. WINTER: Okay. At this point we'd
16	like to open the floor for public comments and
17	questions.
18	Once again, please state your name
19	and address and direct the questions to the
20	Planning Commission. We'll keep a tally of all
21	the questions, and at the end we'll ask the
22	petitioner to address the questions as best as
23	possible.
24	MR. WALLACE: Bob Wallace, 1446 Kingsbury

1 Court.

2	First of all, I'd just like to make
3	a comment that if let's say you give your
4	children time to watch TV at night and they ignore
5	you. They don't follow your rules and turn the TV
6	off at, say 10:00. Would you then give them a
7	another special privilege to watch till 11:00? I
8	think most of us wouldn't.
9	The reason I bring this up is their
10	current special use permit for outdoor sales
11	wasn't meant for storage. This petitioner has
12	many times violated different issues of the
13	special use permit, and it would be a reward to
14	give them another special use permit if they
15	aren't without being in compliance with their
16	current one. That's it. I'm done with that.
17	This current if you do go ahead
18	with this current plan, it would be nice if in
19	this special use permit they absolutely wrote in
20	that they are not going to store lumber, any of
21	these rental machines, display them out in front
22	of this new area that they're now building.
23	There is not that much room there.
24	People are already parking there. They're picking

66

I	up their lumber, their picking up their trees,
2	which then blocks the fire lane on top of the
3	sidewalk area. I had to walk out into the middle
4	of the thoroughfare to get by the cars that were
5	parked there picking up current materials.
6	As far as signage, they're asking
7	for a change in their signs. It would be nice if
8	we could get written in that these handwritten,
9	ugly signs in the windows on the store walls that
10	don't meet current signage requirements and
11	they get away with it because they can pull them
12	down at any time are restricted.
13	Lastly, the parking issue. If they
14	have so many parking places why are people parking
15	along the outdoor storage area, both along the
16	garden center and both along their chain link
17	fence area. If there's that many parking spaces,
18	they're that easy to get to the store, people
19	wouldn't be utilizing those areas.
20	If you would like pictures of that
21	situation I have them stored on a digital camera
22	and would be more than happy to bring them in if

this got continued.

67

1	MS. KRAMER: My name is Nan Kramer.
2	(phonetic)
3	I also have is problem with giving
4	them a another special use permit without them
5	correcting the problems they already have.
6	I was by there at 3:30 today. Had
7	to weave my way in and out of people parked along
8	there just to see what they had up today.
9	The fenced-in area, they have their
10	shrink-wrapped mulch bags stored probably two feet
11	above the fence area. They've got white bundles
12	of something laying out on their sidewalks. They
13	have landscape timber alongside the building or in
14	front of the building. They have fencing panels.
15	They have riding mowers stacked several high and
16	several deep.
17	And I have great concerns that this
18	is going to continue. If they're allowed to have
19	this special permit, I'm afraid they're going to

do the exact same thing, have rental mowers out

21	there stacked seven deep.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. WINTER: Any other questions or
24	comments from the public?
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	68
1	(No response.)
2	MR. WINTER: If not, at this time we will
3	close the floor to any further public comment.
4	Since there were just a number of
5	questions, would the petitioner care to respond to
6	any of the questions presented?
7	MR. MULDOWNEY: I don't recall all of the
8	questions exactly. One item was having to walk
9	around customer pickup. It's very difficult for
10	the store to police the inconsiderateness of their
11	customers and double parking that I can't
12	answer a question like that. I just think that
13	people that do park would use common sense.
14	But is it up to the manager to go
15	out and say, "get the four-letter word out of
16	there; you can't park there?" You can't expect
17	that of us.
18	If they're going to double park in a

19	fire lane that's the police department. I mean
20	I'm sorry to hear that, but they want to park next
21	to the doors. Parking over by the garden center,
22	they want to park there so they don't have to walk
23	very far. Again, that's a no-parking zone. How
24	do we police that? Do we police it?
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	MR. WINTER: I think we have some other
2	specific questions.
3	MR. WILDENBERG: A number of comments
4	related to the state of the existing outdoor sales
5	display area out in the parking lot that, you
6	know, there are certain times when it's observed
7	that they're not adhering to the conditions of
8	that special use permit, specifically, storing
9	items above the height of the fence which is not
10	permitted by special use permit.
11	MR. MULDOWNEY: That's of the temporary
12	storage enclosure?
13	MR. WILDENBERG: Fenced in. Yes.
14	MR. MULDOWNEY: I sure will speak to the
15	manager about that and have them keep that in

17	MR. WILDENBERG: The one condition that
18	was suggested which you may want to mull over as a
19	Commission is the condition that it be written in
20	if this proposal does go forward that any outdoor
21	display out in front of the new area to be
22	constructed would not be permitted, any outdoor
23	storage or display be allowed out in that area.
24	MR. MULDOWNEY: I will make that a point
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	with Home Depot. If they wish to have this
2	approved, they will have to comply with that.
3	MR. WINTER: Do any of the other stores
4	that Home Depot has, do they have a rental
5	addition like this situated similar to what you're
6	proposing here?
7	MR. MULDOWNEY: In the Midwest this is
8	new to the company, they have tried it in other
9	divisions. It was just approved in Palatine, and
10	we will be starting on that one. That will
11	probably be the first one.
12	But there are at least at this point
13	six or seven other stores that they are putting

16

compliance.

14	these additions onto or wishing to put them on.
15	MR. WINTER: What is the distance from
16	the exit and entry door to where this wall will be
17	extended? Because I'm concerned about the
18	visibility.
19	There is a walkway when you walk out
20	of the store, but by extending this enclosed area
21	it seems to me that you're going to increase the
22	obstruction for people as they leave.
23	I know there is already the existing
24	part that's in yellow, correct?
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	71

1	MR	MIII DOWNEY.	Correct

- 2 MR. WINTER: Okay. How about if you go
- 3 to the left --
- 4 MR. MULDOWNEY: Here is the exit door
- 5 that comes out. This is -- here's almost 30 --
- 6 has to be the least 35, almost 40 feet, from this
- 7 corner, which is a very considerable distance.
- 8 Anyone coming out, right out of the door, can see
- 9 in the traffic lane clear down to this point.
- And from this exit way they come out

11	and they turn this way or they come out and they
12	turn this way, and this is not the driveway. This
13	is the customer pickup area. So that the driveway
14	is out at least another 8 feet before they're out.
15	So they can walk out and look directly down this
16	way, and we still have 8 feet to go.
17	So, you know, if we felt that this
18	was going to be a customer hazard or anything like
19	that, certainly the company would not be doing
20	that here.
21	There are some stores that they
22	wanted to do it in and because of different
23	configurations, different problems, shorter
24	sidewalks, no customer pickup, they haven't been

72

able to work it in. And they've given up on it. 1 But this being the typical 2 3 arrangement, they feel that this is a very safe setup. 4 MR. WINTER: On one of the charts that 5 was presented, the one to the right of your 6 picture there, that is noted as the entry for the 7 8 store on my map. And in that I can see where the

9	existing structure doesn't affect it as much when
10	you're walking in.
11	But now you go to the left, and now
12	you have a primary exit area for the store. And
13	it would seem to me without that wall there you
14	would agree that you have better visibility if
15	you're looking to the west when you leave that
16	door the way it's currently configured and that
17	there will be to some extent an obstruction with
18	the proposal.
19	MR. MULDOWNEY: I can't deny that there's
20	going to be some obstruction here. However, there
21	is still an 8-foot area that is not obstructed
22	that is part of the walkway before you get to the
23	driveway. So there is plenty of visibility coming
24	down this way.

1	Yes. Walking out this way,
2	certainly looking from the door and looking this
3	way, you do have the wall in there. As you turn
4	and you come out, there's still that 8 feet plus
5	in this dimension here that you would have

6	visibility or directions.
7	MR. WINTER: So that I'm clear, the
8	8 feet, people can drive on that 8 feet? That's
9	the parking, or is that actual sidewalk?
10	That's parking, right?
11	MR. MULDOWNEY: This is a striped area
12	that's not intended to be the driveway. There's
13	30 feet out in front of this. I don't know if it
14	shows up better on the site plan here, but that's
15	a striped area that's across the whole front of
16	the store which is striped here as customer pickup
17	and customer pickup in front of the entryway.
18	So, yes, cars can pull in there and
19	park, just not leave them parked and leave their
20	cars to pick up any merchandise.
21	In addition to that, the 30-foot
22	drive is all beyond that.
23	MR. WINTER: I'm thinking that people
24	driving up in that area might be obstructed by
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE

(847) 336-5220

- 1 that wall as well.
- 2 It would not be just a question of
- 3 the customers leaving the store. People driving

4	up to that area you have a door pretty close to
5	that wall.
6	MR. MULDOWNEY: In this area people
7	basically will not be driving up because there
8	will be bollards protecting the door area, so this
9	is kind of, let's say, not a pickup area. The
10	pickup areas are adjacent thereto on this side.
11	So it's this is really no longer a functioning
12	pickup area.
13	MR. WINTER: Are there any other
14	questions of the petitioner?
15	MR. CEPON: I would assume the hours
16	would be the same as your normal store operation?
17	MR. MULDOWNEY: That's correct.
18	MR. CEPON: And what do you project
19	how many months of the year do you think you will
20	be open on this?
21	MR. MULDOWNEY: On the tools?
22	MR. CEPON: (Nods)
23	MR. MULDOWNEY: That will be twelve

75

months a year, yes.

1	MR. CEPON: Even in the wintertime?
2	MR. MULDOWNEY: Yes.
3	MR. CEPON: So you're talking about
4	renting snowblowers and things like that?
5	MR. MULDOWNEY: Possibly.
6	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?
7	MR. SMITH: I just have a hard time with
8	them because of previous violations that they
9	don't adhered to at all to where I don't even shop
10	there anymore. I don't even go there because of
11	the continuing violations, and they just don't
12	seem to want to do anything about that. I have a
13	hard time with Home Depot.
14	MR. MULDOWNEY: Sorry to hear that.
15	MR. SMITH: They just don't seem to
16	care. You go out and talk to them. They told us
17	before they kept changing managers.
18	I have a real hard time with Home
19	Depot's operation.
20	MR. WINTER: I guess I have a question of
21	staff. Is this something that maybe the fire
22	department should look at?
23	I'm a little concerned that the
24	petitioner raised the issue that they wanted to

1	have it open because there may be flammable
2	well, some equipment, combustible engines and
3	whatnot.
4	This is going to be situated between
5	the two main entryways to this entire building,
6	and I just wonder whether that's something that
7	perhaps it's been looked into. I don't know.
8	MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, we have other
9	rental areas in Gurnee. Their rental has
10	everything inside, gasoline. I've gone and rented
11	stuff. Apparently that's not a problem.
12	MR. WILDENBERG: If it came to the point
13	where they would submit for a building permit,
14	they'd obviously have to meet fire code and
15	building code at that point in time.
16	MR. MULDOWNEY: Correct. And we have
17	seen to it that this element does meet the code.
18	But we certainly will be sitting
19	down with the fire department and seeing what
20	their specifics are. Basically this is a 12-inch
21	masonry wall. This is a 12-inch concrete wall,
22	fire wall, here. So if any fire occurred in here

it is -- the exit way is protected.

This is -- that was one of the

23

77

1	concerns of putting a roof on it. We put a roof
2	on it, then we do have other problems with the
3	building code. That's why we prefer to leave it
4	open. It is safer. It is safer to leave it open
5	and I think the fire department would agree
6	than put a roof on. They'd rather be fighting a
7	fire in an open area than inside the building.
8	But we will definitely make sure
9	this conforms to the codes.
10	MR. WINTER: Any other questions or
11	comments?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. WINTER: I would just say that I have
14	some concerns about putting such a big porch in
15	the front of this store.
16	It's my understanding it's just
17	going to be a solid wall, right?
18	MR. MULDOWNEY: This will be a solid
19	wall. It doesn't stick out that much more than
20	the vestibules that are there now, 3 feet more
21	than this vestibule which has a solid wall here
22	and this vestibule. So it's really in harmony

- with what's going on here as far as projection
- 24 goes.

1	Right now this is being used as a
2	display area. That display area will disappear
3	and will probably make one of the people who spoke
4	here much happier.
5	MR. WINTER: And in what respect, that
6	they're not going to have signs up in that display
7	area?
8	MR. MULDOWNEY: Well, there won't be
9	merchandise out here because there won't be room
10	where the addition is placed. That area which is
11	now used as display becomes the building area in
12	the enclosure.
13	MR. WINTER: Well, unless anyone has any
14	additional comments or questions, the Chair would
15	entertain a motion.
16	MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, it seems like
17	as a group we have a great deal of concern. I
18	guess I'm not sure whether to move for an
19	unfavorable recommendation or a continuance to
20	address concerns.

21	MR. WINTER: Well, I think certainly
22	we've raised a few issues there.
23	Mr. Wildenberg, would it be
24	appropriate for the Commission to ask the fire
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	79
1	department to look into this, or is that a
2	function of getting the construction building
3	permit?
4	MR. WILDENBERG: It really is more of a
5	function of getting the construction building
6	permit at that time. But if that is information
7	you desire in your consideration here we will ask
8	the petitioner to submit detailed drawings and
9	render a copy.
10	MR. WINTER: Is that something that the
11	petitioner could do? Do you have some drawings
12	that are more detailed?
13	MR. MULDOWNEY: We were hoping to have
14	direction from the Board before we went through
15	all the completion of documents on it, but I would
16	be most happy to meet with the building department
17	and the fire commissioner with these proposed

18	plans and, let's say, with the plans of a similar
19	addition that we could review and get a direction
20	from him.
21	Before we can proceed with further
22	construction drawings we would meet with the
23	building department and fire department to make
24	sure we cover their requirements. But as I say I
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	80
1	can do that now.
2	MR. WINTER: Well, I think at this point
3	Mr. Sula's going to make a motion to continue this
4	matter to our next meeting.
5	Maybe after the meeting, it can be
6	decided, you know, what should be done, and we
7	don't have to decide that for right now.
8	My concern is that of public
9	safety. And having this in front I am somewhat
10	concerned about this new structure being a solid
11	wall being located directly between the two main
12	entry points for the store, the in and the out
13	doors for the store.
14	And I, too, would feel more

comfortable to know whether we just informally at

16	this point ask staff to follow up with the fire
17	department or provide us with the fire code
18	provisions that might address this issue.
19	So, Mr. Sula, is that a formal
20	motion then, to continue this request to the next
21	Plan Commission meeting?
22	MR. SULA: Yes. I'll make a formal
23	motion to continue.
24	MR. PAPP: Second.
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	81
	81
	81
1	MR. WINTER: What's the day?
1 2	
	MR. WINTER: What's the day?
2	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be
2	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be May 19. Is that date available for the
2 3 4	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be May 19. Is that date available for the petitioner?
2 3 4 5	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be May 19. Is that date available for the petitioner? MR. MULDOWNEY: I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be May 19. Is that date available for the petitioner? MR. MULDOWNEY: I believe so. MR. WINTER: All right. Unless there is
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WINTER: What's the day? MR. WILDENBERG: May 19. That would be May 19. Is that date available for the petitioner? MR. MULDOWNEY: I believe so. MR. WINTER: All right. Unless there is any other discussion on the motion, I would call

MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Aye.

11

13	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula.
14	MR. SULA: Aye.
15	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp.
16	MR. PAPP: Aye.
17	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon.
18	MR. CEPON: Aye.
19	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter.
20	MR. WINTER: Aye. Motion carries. And
21	this matter will now be continued for public
22	hearing. There will be no additional
23	notification. This is notification that this
24	matter is continued to May 19, 1999.

1	The Chair has been informed we may
2	have a representative from Pool A Rama; is that
3	correct?
4	MR. WILDENBERG: That's correct.
5	MR. WINTER: "Mr. Pool A Rama," if you
6	could step forward.
7	For purposes of the record this is
8	not a public hearing. We are now on agenda item
9	No. 5. This was read into the record once
10	before. If not, I'll do it again.

11	This is pertaining to Lot 4 in
12	Almond Plaza Subdivision. This pertains to a
13	subject site containing 1.75 acres located west of
14	the Gurnee Dodge property and Grand Avenue
15	currently known C/B-2, Community Business
16	District, zoning.
17	It is here for the review of final
18	site development plans required prior to the
19	issuance of a construction permit.
20	It is a one-story,
21	16,000-square-foot retail structure with a
22	Pool A Rama operation.
23	At this time I'd ask
24	Mr. Wildenberg, is there anything that should be
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	83

added?
MR. WILDENBERG: Not particularly. We
can turn it over to the presenter to walk you
through the specifics of the site and the
building.
MR. WINTER: And just so that we can
follow the format, if you could avail yourself of

8	the microphone. Please state your name so the
9	stenographer can have the information.
10	MR. GORALSKI: My name is Art Goralski,
11	senior architect with Airoom Architects, Inc.
12	We're at 7865 North Lincoln in Lincolnwood. And
13	we are also a design build contractor, so we are a
14	design build firm. What you see before you is our
15	presentation to develop this 1.75 acre site.
16	Part of the issue here is that one
17	of the things that we're doing is that we're
18	coming in off of Buchanan Drive with a wider lane
19	than we normally would. This is because there is
20	a provision in the PUD for a future connection to
21	the bank site which is to the west of us.
22	However we have had discussion with
23	Firstar Bank, and they were sent a certified
24	letter. They have not responded. They are in the

84

1	process of developing plans but they are not far
2	enough along to let us know exactly what they war
3	to do or how they want to complete this.
4	We've talked with John and Tracy

5 several times on this issue. We've agreed that in

6	the future whenever the bank decides to come in
7	they can go ahead and make their curb cuts or
8	their cross access easement, and we would not
9	hesitate in letting them make their cross access
10	easement.
11	Also on our property what you see
12	here is a what we have on the north side of the
13	building is the fire lane which is required by the
14	fire marshal. We've also modified the site plan
15	from what was originally discussed when
16	Pool A Rama was with another firm. And what we've
17	done is changed the entrance location and the way
18	the building is accessed.
19	We've moved the entrance to the
20	southwest corner of the building, and we've made
21	it easily accessible by putting handicapped spaces
22	immediately adjoining that, and we've come around
23	here and made the curbs and rotations for the
24	truck deliveries to the delivery area in the

- 1 building quite accessible.
- We've located a trash enclosure on

3	the site so that we can we've screened that
4	with shrubbery. We've gone through and met the
5	landscape requirements for the PUD. What you see
6	is our landscape plan here which is shown in
7	addition with our site with our preparation
8	plan.
9	There is one area over here which is
10	on the eastern side which borders the automobile
11	dealership. That is shown as sodded area, and the
12	reason is that there's also a requirement that we
13	end up with a total of 74 parking spaces. And
14	part of this is to allow us to increase the
15	parking request space allotment if that's what's
16	required in the future.
17	Now, what I'll do is I'll go in and
18	I'll show a you an elevation or rendering of what
19	the building will look like. This is a view of
20	the building as it would look from the northwest
21	looking at the building. They're allotted
22	signage on the west side and are allotted signage
23	on the north side along Grand Avenue.

MR. WINTER: If I could just interrupt.

1	MR. GORALSKI: Yes.
2	MR. WINTER: Could we move that easel
3	out. I don't know if everybody can see that. If
4	people in the audience want to look at this maybe
5	you can come forward.
6	MR. GORALSKI: The building that we've
7	proposed is a 16,400-square-foot structure.
8	13,726 square feet of that is retail or public.
9	1,928 square feet is storage, and we have a
10	750-square-foot office area which is going to be
11	built into a mezzanine area near the rear of the
12	building.
13	I don't know if you know very much
14	about Pool A Rama. I'll give a brief description
15	of what they do. It's a retail enterprise. They
16	provide pools, spas, billiard tables. They do
17	seasonal sales for Christmas and summer. They do
18	outdoor patio furniture and pool equipment.
19	That's what they basically sell.
20	The building is going to be a
21	precast concrete. The precast concrete will be
22	stained two colors. The window wall system is an
23	exterior glazed system with a yellow snap-on grid
24	system. The glass will be a clear glass.

1	We're going to do medallions, which
2	are going to be fabricated and painted black.
3	They'll be open with a yellow color painted on the
4	precast behind the panels. The overall height of
5	the building is 22 foot, 6 inches. And let's see.
6	
7	The next issue I'd like to talk a
8	little bit about is the signage. We had met with
9	or we've been discussing on a number of times
10	signage requirements, and I believe we've talked
11	with John a couple of times regarding this.
12	I think if you have your packet
13	you'll see we have signage with the two pylon
14	signs. There will be one along Grand Avenue, and
15	there will be another one along Buchanan.
16	On the site plan those locations
17	would be there will be one up here in this
18	corner here, and there will be one over here at
19	the entrance as you come off of Buchanan.
20	As I said the entrance to this
21	building is on the southwest corner here. It's a
22	triangular corner. We clipped the corner so
23	people can enter underneath a protected canopy. I
24	can show you that better in this elevation here

1	which shows that the canopy elevation here is
2	clipped on a diagonal underneath. And that is the
3	precast concrete will be stepped out so that we
4	can give some accent to that corner.
5	The overhead service entrance and
6	the man door to the rear of the building are on
7	the southeast corner. Those are going to be
8	painted to match the precast so they're very
9	unobtrusive and don't interfere with anything.
10	We also have on the east side of the
11	building we're required to provide a double man
12	door exit that will be very simply treated.
13	This is the north side of the
14	building here. You can see that we have our
15	Pool A Rama sign here, and this is back to the
16	rendering.
17	If you have any questions I'd be
18	free to answer them.
19	MR. WINTER: Thank you. Any questions of
20	the petitioner?
21	Mr. Sula.
22	MR. SULA: Question for staff. John, are

- 23 there any variations between this and the
- 24 preliminary plat?

1	MR. WILDENBERG: You have to understand
2	that at the time we went through preliminary plat
3	that the user was not identified. So this user is
4	coming forward now and meeting the criteria that
5	were established.
6	MR. SULA: That's all based on the sign
7	square footage and all that?
8	MR. WILDENBERG: Yeah. Yeah.
9	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?
10	What is the signage square footage
11	for the two pylons?
12	MR. GORALSKI: I believe it's 100 square
13	feet each face along Grand Avenue, and it's, I
14	believe, 30 square feet each facing along
15	Buchanan, and then the letters meet the sign
16	requirements for signage placed upon the
17	building. So we've gone over this with John's
18	group several times so that we meet all the
19	requirements.

20	The maximum height of the sign along
21	Buchanan is relatively short. It's 8 feet high
22	and the one along Grand Avenue is 12-foot,
23	4-and-a-half inches high.
24	MR. WILDENBERG: They are within the
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	90
1	guidelines of the PUD as far as square footage per
2	face. They're right on as far as height for the
3	smaller sign on Buchanan Drive. Then technically
4	the sign out on Grand Avenue could go as high as
5	14 feet but this one, this but is 12 feet,
6	4 inches.
7	MR. WINTER: I take it staff because,
8	again, there is an aggregate number for this PUD
9	there is more than lot so was there an
10	aggregate number on this?
11	MR. WILDENBERG: No. It's done on a
12	lot-by-lot basis.
13	MR. WINTER: Okay. Good.
14	MR. SULA: Mr. Chairman, I do have one
15	additional question.
16	I see that there is no provision on
17	the plan for any outdoor displays. Are we

adequately protected in the PUD so that outdoor
displays will not be added?

MR. WILDENBERG: An outdoor display can
only be added via special use public hearing.

This use is permitted by right and no outdoor
display, storage, no activity of any kind other

than usually loading and unloading.

24

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220

91

1	MR. WINTER: Any other questions?
2	(No response)
3	MR. WINTER: If not, I'll entertain a
4	motion on agenda item No. 5.
5	MR. SULA: I move that we send a
6	favorable recommendation for final PUD plat
7	approval for lot 4 in the Almond Plaza
8	Subdivision.
9	MR. WINTER: Second?
10	MR. SMITH: I'll second.
11	MR. WINTER: Okay. Can we have a
12	roll-call vote.
13	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Finn.

MR. FINN: Aye.

15	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Smith.
16	MR. SMITH: Aye.
17	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Sula.
18	MR. SULA: Aye.
19	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Papp.
20	MR. PAPP: Aye.
21	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Cepon.
22	MR. CEPON: Aye.
23	MR. WILDENBERG: Mr. Winter.
24	MR. WINTER: Aye.
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	02
	97
	92
	92
1	Motion carries. Thank you. At this
1 2	
	Motion carries. Thank you. At this
2	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda
2	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal
2 3 4	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions.
2 3 4 5	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions. MR. SULA: Can we take a five-minute
2 3 4 5 6	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions. MR. SULA: Can we take a five-minute break?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions. MR. SULA: Can we take a five-minute break? MR. WINTER: However, before we do that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions. MR. SULA: Can we take a five-minute break? MR. WINTER: However, before we do that we're going to take a five-minute recess and be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Motion carries. Thank you. At this point in the meeting we'd like to move to agenda item No. 8. This begins a series of informal discussions. MR. SULA: Can we take a five-minute break? MR. WINTER: However, before we do that we're going to take a five-minute recess and be back at approximately 9:25 to take up agenda items

13	MR. WINTER: At this point we'd like to
14	reconvene the meeting.
15	Once again, the next three proposals
16	we have are merely informal discussions, so we
17	don't need to swear anyone in. We'll start with
18	item No. 8. This is the Providence Oaks
19	Subdivision Phase III, East and West.
20	It's my understanding that the
21	developer of Providence Oaks, Landmark Homes, is
22	investigating the potential to add a phase to the
23	subdivision which will include an east and west
24	component.

1	The east portion involves an
2	extension of Fieldstone Drive south of the Country
3	Trails Subdivision, while the west portion is
4	located south of Cornell Avenue between O'Plaine
5	Road and Angelo Drive.
6	At this point unless Mr. Wildenberg
7	has anything to add
8	MR. WILDENBERG: I don't have anything.
9	MR. WINTER: Do we have someone here or

10	Landmark Homes to address the Commission? Because
11	there are some other people if you still could use
12	the microphone, we'd appreciate that.
13	Is this a good position for the
14	easel?
15	MR. WINTER: I'll tell you what, it is
16	kind of faint, so if we could move that back to a
17	more central location.
18	Bill, can you see that from here?
19	MR. FINN: Yeah.
20	MR. WINTER: Okay. That's good. Thank
21	you.
22	MR. DORAN: Thank you. Mr. Chairman,
23	Plan Commission members, my name is Mike Doran.
24	I'm the president of Landmark Homes, 4474 Cornell
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	94
1	Avenue, Gurnee.

- 2 Tonight we're asking for your
- 3 consideration and approval of our concept plan for
- 4 what would be our Phase III, East and West to
- 5 Providence Oaks.
- 6 To give you a frame of reference I
- 7 have a plat of Providence Oaks outlined here in

8	red. This would would be O'Plaine Road, and this
9	is Eastwood, and Cornell comes in here.
10	The two pieces we're talking about
11	are outlined in yellow. The first piece that I'll
12	talk about is the east piece also known as the May
13	piece. It's approximately seven acres. We would
14	be putting 18 lots on there including an outlot
15	for detention.
16	It would be a continuation of
17	Sunnyside Avenue, and then we would have what
18	would be a temporary cul-de-sac down at the south
19	end here.
20	We would have There is a property
21	owner here that has approximately I think
22	that's about a two-acre piece approximately, give
23	or take; and there is provisions for right of way
24	for future development of their piece.

1	And then immediately to the east
2	there is a piece owned by Mr. Cobb who we
3	approached, was not interested in selling at this
4	time. He also has a provision with the extension

5	of this right of way for future development of
6	this piece.
7	We would be for both of these
8	pieces we would be using we would like to bring
9	it in under the same PUD that we have now or just
10	an extension of the same guidelines. We'd be
11	bringing on the same type of homes.
12	We may bring on a new floor plan or
13	two but, in general, the same type of homes if
14	you're familiar with the homes that are there is
15	what we would envision going on both of these
16	pieces.
17	The second one is an assembly of two
18	pieces, the Mueller piece just south of Cornell
19	Avenue and the Carr piece, the Raymond Carr
20	piece. This would be a 14-lot subdivision, or
21	phase rather, with an existing home on it that
22	would remain. Mr. Carr lives there now and would
23	retain that piece. That's about approximately, I
24	believe, a four-acre piece, and that would as I

- 1 say be 14 lots.
- 2 If there are any questions that I

3	can address
4	MR. WINTERS: Just to make it clear since
5	this is an informal hearing there will, in fact,
6	be no motions made tonight. If the petitioner at
7	some point decides they would like to pursue that
8	and request an extension or an additional PUD, it
9	would be a public hearing, but this is really the
10	first glance of some open discussions about land
11	usage in this area.
12	I think the first question I have
13	for Mr. Wildenberg this area, I imagine, in the
14	comprehensive plan is shown to be residential in
15	nature that's being discussed informally tonight?
16	MR. WILDENBERG: Yes, that's correct. It
17	is shown as a residential use in the density range
18	of two to four units per acre. That would be
19	consistent or the proposal would be generally
20	consistent with that.
21	MR. WINTER: That is to say that by and
22	large these lots are what is the average square
23	footage for the lots? Do you have that broken

down for either the east or the west phase? It

1	looks like a lot of these are very close to
2	10,000, a little more or less for each spot.
3	MR. DORAN: Right. We don't have the
4	exact number, but they would be in excess of
5	10,000. All of the lots would be similar in
6	size. None would be smaller than any of the lots
7	we already have in Providence Oaks.
8	MR. WILDENBERG: One of the guiding
9	principles was that the average lot size for the
10	subdivision created would exceed 10,000 square
11	feet. They do work off of a basic 70-foot
12	frontage, which is a little different than under
13	that straight R-3 zoning which calls for an
14	80-foot frontage. Some of the setback criteria
15	are also a little different than straight R-3.
16	The nature of the PUD and trying to
17	make this property work and preserve trees and
18	have it be somewhat similar or relate to the
19	Providence Village Subdivision on the other side
20	of the street which this builder also had a hand
21	in constructing, those were some of those
22	standards were carried over to the east side of
23	O'Plaine from the west side of O'Plaine.
24	MR. WINTER: For the benefit of the two

- newer members, again, this is an opportunity toask questions that you may have. Nothing that's
- 3 said here is binding on either party because this
- 4 is merely an informal stage. But if there is any
- 5 question you have regarding this property or of
- 6 the petitioner, he's volunteered to be here and
- 7 would like to have our input or any questions.
- 8 I'll start off by asking the
- 9 question about the temporary cul-de-sac. What is
- 10 -- I mean is that just a dead end, or is there
- actually some provisions there that you'd have
- some room to turn around?
- MR. DORAN: Well, that would be this
- piece right here. The right of way is 60-- right
- here, I believe, it's 60 or 66.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 60.
- MR. DORAN: And so what we have right
- here is until this gets developed this would have
- 19 a temporary ball similar to what we have at the
- 20 end of Waterford here.
- MR. PAPP: A temporary what?
- MR. DORAN: A circle, a temporary
- circle. I said a ball.
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a fully

99

1	improved driveway, circular driveway. It's a
2	little bit less than our minimum standards. It
3	will fit within a 60-foot right of way. They'll
4	virtually pave the whole right of way. In a
5	standard cul-de-sac we have 120 feet across it.
6	This will have 60 across, still plenty of room for
7	most vehicles to turn around in but not the fire
8	trucks.
9	MR. WILDENBERG: What we try to do is
10	we've got a multitude of ownership over here and
11	various sized lots, and we try to pay attention to
12	being able to offer similar development
13	opportunities to those properties if and when they
14	should seek to do so. So as we start platting
15	streets, we try to maintain access to all future
16	properties as well.
17	MR. WINTER: Any other questions or
18	comments?
19	Mr. Sula.
20	MR. SULA: Just for perspective I live
21	immediately adjacent to the property. I think

21

- you've done a real fine job, and hopefully you can
- 23 do something nice to continue.
- The one comment that I would have

100

just in the spirit of keeping with the area, 1 2 specifically lots 129 and 128 -- they're on the 3 corner of Cornell and O'Plaine -- more so on the 4 one corner -- access might be a little difficult 5 with the driveways 'cause of the monument-type 6 boulevard that you've got at the entryway. It 7 would be really nice if that could stay a vacant 8 lot with trees on it to keep the flavor if you 9 could swing the economics of it. MR. DORAN: Yeah. Well, that does speak 10 11 to the economics of the project. We have a home 12 right now built on Lot 1. 13 MR. SULA: I understand. 14 MR. DORAN: Lot 2 is -- it can platted, 15 but we're using it for parking at the moment. 16 I think that would pretty well demonstrate the feasibility to put a building on 17

those locations.

MR. WINTER: Mr. Smith.

18

20	MR. SMITH: History says like I said
21	before with people, you people you've had an
22	excellent history.
23	MR. DORAN: Thank you.
24	MR. SMITH: I think you build a good
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	101
1	product. People seem to have no complaints.
2	Basically I hope you continue the same way.
3	You've done an excellent job so far.
4	MR. DORAN: Thank you very much.
5	MR. CEPON: I don't know if people in the
6	audience have any questions, but this is an
7	informal discussion so if you have any you can
8	just blurt it out.
9	MR. WILDENBERG: One last thing I think
10	I'd point out from a zoning and planning point of
11	view to let you know that the proposed east phase
12	or the east section of this phase is actually
13	unincorporated territory right now. That would
14	have to be subject to an annexation and PUD
15	petition.
16	The west phase in the village at

1 /	this point is zoned R-2 single family. That would
18	then have to be the subject of a PUD petition.
19	But they could all be lumped together into one
20	hearing context.
21	MR. WINTER: I think that's a good point.
22	Well, I think the consensus from the
23	Planning Commissioners are that, since we don't
24	have to figure out what our apartment-single home
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	102
1	ratio is tilting, that we like this plan.
2	And, again, certainly anything we
3	say is not binding, but we're all thinking about
4	this and had an opportunity to look at this. It
5	certainly seems to be consistent with what's going
6	on in that area.
7	I haven't heard any negative
8	comments tonight. So unless you've got any
9	specific questions of us. I think that's about
10	it.
11	MR. DORAN: Terrific. I guess what I'd
12	like to ask is that I guess the next step would be
13	publishing for annexation and rezoning.
14	MR. WINTER: Well, for that I'd refer you

15	to Mr. Wildenberg or Tracy when she gets back.
16	MR. WILDENBERG: We'll have to go over
17	the drill on that one.
18	MR. WINTER: Then we will have to swear
19	people in and make sure that everyone has an
20	opportunity to comment and to make sure we're not
21	overlooking something.
22	MR. DORAN: Okay. Thank you.
23	MR. WINTER: With that we'll move onto
24	item No. 9, which is essentially another request
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	for informal discussion of the Piansay property.
2	This pertains to property located at
3	the southeast corner of Belle Plaine and Country
4	Club. The subject property measures 100 feet by
5	200 feet. It's currently zoned R-2 single family.
6	The owner desires a rezone to R-3
7	single family and subdivide into two buildable
8	lots. Sanitary sewer will become available on

Country Club Avenue as part of the Village's sewer

It's my understanding that that

extension program.

9

10

12	would be an important factor for this informal
13	discussion.
14	Do we have anyone here representing
15	the property owners?
16	MR. WILDENBERG: And actually,
17	Mr. Chairman, I might point out that both items,
18	No. 9 and No. 10, are very closely interrelated.
19	MR. WINTER: Why don't we discuss them at
20	the same time.
21	MR. WILDENBERG: They have the same kinds
22	of issues. I think Bud has an overall view of the
23	area here, and he will take you through and get
24	you quickly familiarized with the sanitary and
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
1	sewer improvements in that whole area.
2	We can also then talk about each one
3	of these properties as a whole and give people
4	some feedback.
5	MR. SULA: It would be helpful several
6	meetings ago I think we had a discussion on
7	splitting it into three buildable lots. Now it's
8	only two?
9	MR. WINTER: No. No. That was a

10	different one.
11	MR. WILDENBERG: Right. It just happens
12	that one of these property owners happens to own
13	at least a couple different properties in this
14	area.
15	I think you'll see that the reason
16	why we're suggesting to discuss this informally is
17	that this area is going to be experiencing some
18	changes, and we are being approached by the
19	property owners in that area as to their
20	possibilities for future resubdivision and/or
21	rezoning.
22	We just kind of like to get a flavor
23	or feedback or ideas, whatever you want to call
24	it, from the Plan Commission to help guide not

- 1 only these property owners that are here tonight
- 2 but maybe additional property owners in that area
- 3 that might be facing the same set of circumstances
- 4 that they are.
- 5 MR. WINTER: Bud, you want to tell us
- 6 something about this?

7	MR. REED: I'll try to take over from
8	John and fill you in a little more. You do have
9	in your packet, I think, a diagram that shows the
10	proposed subdivision, which is the last item which
11	I was going to speak to for Mr. Bellevage.
12	
13	Mr. Piansay is here. We've talked
14	about his property also. His property is right up
15	here on Country Club, and Mr. Bellevage is right
16	here. And we've got a blowup here of
17	Mr. Bellevage.
18	Just generally the type of situation
19	we're running into, the dark area, the lines
20	through this whole northeast area here that's in
21	dark, these are the street right of ways. We're
22	presently running sanitary sewer throughout this
23	area. We've been doing this type of work for the
24	past 18 months or so.

1	During the course of the past 18
2	months I probably received an average of six to
3	seven phone calls a week from property owners,
4	real estate agents can I divide the property

3	into three lots?
6	If you look close at this proposed
7	area where Mr. Bellevage is proposing to divide
8	his into three lots, it requires rezoning and then
9	a subdivision. We have to answer a question up
10	front: Is it realistic to rezone the property?
11	Well, they have to met our
12	guidelines, of course, the zoning guidelines, in
13	order to subdivide. But the overriding issue is
14	when we get to the Board here. Is this something
15	that's going to be agreeable with the Board? Just
16	general discussions.
17	This is presently zoned R-2 which is
18	100-foot frontage lots. In a lot of cases it's
19	extremely more frontage than that what's existing
20	there. As you can see from Mr. Bellevage, the
21	three lots, he has, I believe, 240 or 245.
22	MR. CEPON: 245.
23	MR. REED: It's easily divisible into
24	three lots that meet the zoning requirements with

107

1 sanitary there which he will have.

2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already
3	there. It's already in the ground.
4	MR. REED: It's available to it's
5	realistic to think you can rezone the property
6	into three lots. However, if we're going to be
7	meeting with or talking to as many people as I've
8	been talking to I don't know how many people
9	Tracy talks to we need to be able to give them
10	some idea if this is realistic to come before this
11	Board requesting R-3 zoning.
12	I don't want to have somebody come
13	in requesting R-3 zoning if we're going to
14	continually say no, no, no. I'd just as soon tell
15	them, no, it's not going to happen way ahead of
16	time. Then you don't have to listen to it. I'll
17	be the one hearing about it.
18	So the question is really is it
19	realistic for this area to be rezoned into R-3.
20	There are approximately the areas that are
21	shown here in light brown are just a rough
22	estimate. There's 60 some-odd parcels that could
23	be rezoned from R-2 to R-3.
24	MR. PAPP: That would represent what.

- about 30 percent of the total plat?
- 2 MR. REED: Probably -- probably 30 to
- 3 40 percent. There are other areas that could
- 4 probably be combined and also made into the three
- 5 lots instead of -- there may be 220-foot lots that
- 6 are under common ownership now that could be put
- 7 together and you'd wind up with three lots. So
- 8 there are other possibilities.
- 9 These are all under common ownership
- right now that I showed in the light brown. So
- 11 it's realistic to think they can come before the
- 12 Board just like Mr. Bellevage is and request
- rezoning on the parcel to make three lots.
- MR. PAPP: The majority of houses there
- are 100-foot lots?
- MR. REED: I don't know if they are or
- 17 not. I would say just in a general glance over
- it, they're probably 120, at least 100.
- MR. PAPP: Yeah. So most of them comply
- 20 with R-3?
- MR. REED: Oh, yes. Most of them comply
- with R-3. There's some right around the Com Ed
- right-of-way here that are 50-, 60-foot lots.
- MR. PAPP: What happens?

PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	MR. REED: They would have to combined, I
2	think, right, John?
3	MR. WILDENBERG: Well, that would be a
4	zoning question. There are some provisions for
5	preexisting lots or lots that existed prior to our
6	1980 zoning ordinance that may allow them to be
7	developed and would have to be looked at.
8	The one thing I'd point out in
9	relation to that whole the current lot
10	configuration that you have up there is not really
11	based on our current zoning. For one, we didn't
12	have this property in the village until about 1977
13	when that area north of Glen Flora between
14	Northwestern and Bay Place generally was annexed
15	into the community.
16	The subdivision properties were
17	subdivided back in the 1920's by Fred and Paige
18	Bartlett. So I'm not sure that there is not
19	really a strong connection between what's been
20	platted in the 1920s and our current ordinance
21	right now. There is not a direct link there.
22	It's a situation that we kind of inherited when we
23	annexed.

1	is that these were bigger lots because they had
2	well, septic. We're now correcting that. But the
3	smaller lots I'm just wondering now to be in
4	sync with, you know, the 80-foot-wide lot, you
5	know, can we require them to make improvements
6	that they're going to put sidewalks?
7	Because if you add up all these
8	parcels and say, yeah, we'll start letting people
9	divide these up in 80-foot lots, it seems to me
10	you're changing the character of that, that we're
11	now we're not semi-rural or a bigger lot where
12	you're having a well and it's more "countryesque."
13	But, now, is that really the time to
14	say, okay, we'd consider that, but you got to put
15	the sidewalks in now because you're going to put
16	three houses there, and what problems does that
17	present. Because, you know, you're going to have
18	a sidewalk that's going to end.
19	But on the other hand, that might be
20	something that, you know, should be considered at
21	this point.

22	MR. REED: Well, in the past, in the
23	recent past, the last five or six years, we've
24	been requiring any subdivision to at least

1	contribute to stormwater management, impact
2	funding that we have and sidewalk impact funding
3	which we had. Those monies have been used to put
4	Belle Plaine sidewalk in and Magnolia sidewalk up
5	through this area.
6	So, yes. I think those items will
7	come back before the Plan Commission at the
8	subdivision process. And certainly if a
9	subdivider wants to request those things be waived
10	that's his prerogative.
11	In the past the Plan Commission
12	hasn't made much of a comment on it and passed it
13	on the Village Board as their prerogative whether
14	to waive it or not.
15	MR. WINTER: Well, I look at this map,
16	and I don't want to waive for all those people to
17	be able to sell two and three lots on what
18	formerly was one property where we can see they're

19	changing the character, I think, for the better.
20	I mean, I think potentially, you
21	know, it's a lot better, and if there are going to
22	be sewers and I'm sure it's contemplated this
23	is going to be new housing greater uniformity
24	eventually.

1	But I still think if you go out to
2	these properties right now there is no curb, there
3	are no sidewalks. You're going to have more
4	people up and down those streets. I think it's
5	going to be a safety issue because some of those
6	streets aren't that wide to begin with.
7	I think and I just live down in
8	Crescent Meadow, so I'm familiar with that area.
9	Spaulding School is right down at the end there.
10	Right now they have the school bus picking up
11	everybody even if they live a block away because
12	there really aren't sidewalks on Florida Avenue or
13	any of these streets.
14	And my initial reaction is that it
15	would be helpful to have a formal plan for this
16	area and have some definitive idea of how we're

17	going to get sidewalks in on these streets if
18	we're going to have maybe in some cases double the
19	number of houses in some of these areas that are
20	undeveloped.
21	MR. REED: That's certainly a good
22	point. Of course, it's up to the Village Board
23	ultimately as to what's done with any monies that
24	come into the sidewalk funds. And they have in
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	113
1	areas that are already developed it's a little
2	more difficult to put sidewalks in.
3	It's easy to say where you have a
4	vacant parcel such as Mr. Bellevage does here,
5	it's easy to say he can put sidewalks in. But
6	you've got to make logical sense of where it's
7	going to go. You don't want it to be ten years
8	before you get it over to the school where
9	somebody can use it.
10	The other problem we run into when
11	installing sidewalks like up on Country Club where
12	you have all the homes, we generally poll the
13	residents to decide which side of the road, first

14	of all, to put it on and whether you even want it.
15	Because if the residents don't want it, the
16	Village isn't going to spend the money to put it
17	in.
18	The Board generally hasn't in the
19	past spent any money where the residents don't
20	want it. In cases I've even recommended places
21	that will take it out after we've already bid it.
22	So it comes to a point of public perception, where
23	they want a sidewalk.
24	MR. CEPON: We did that in the section
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	114
1	over here and went through the certain areas just
1 2	
	over here and went through the certain areas just
2	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the
2	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about.
2 3 4	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about. But I have a comment on that. I'm
2 3 4 5	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about. But I have a comment on that. I'm wondering if you're going to end up with a problem
2 3 4 5 6	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about. But I have a comment on that. I'm wondering if you're going to end up with a problem with neighbors and neighbors as an example, I
2 3 4 5 6 7	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about. But I have a comment on that. I'm wondering if you're going to end up with a problem with neighbors and neighbors as an example, I don't know I'll just give myself as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over here and went through the certain areas just like main streets, the one side. And then the others we forgot about. But I have a comment on that. I'm wondering if you're going to end up with a problem with neighbors and neighbors as an example, I don't know I'll just give myself as an example.

12	I think that's what I've heard in the last couple
13	times. You get some feedback from the people who
14	have lived there for a long time and don't want to
15	go to the R-3.
16	MR. REED: I think that's a public
17	hearing unless I'm mistaken, to go from R-3 to
18	MR. CEPON: But through the informal
19	discussion here, I think these gentleman and I
20	know some neighbors who are protesting because
21	they said, hey, this is the way we want the
22	neighborhood, and that's why we live there,
23	because we want the 125- or the 240-foot lots.
24	I think my biggest concern would be

115

1 that you're going to end up having people really being mad -- you know what I'm saying. Maybe 2 their neighbors would be mad at them or whoever 3 the guy across the street who's doing it. 4 Maybe one of the suggestions is we 5 6 get an idea what we want to do, maybe get all 7 these people in the neighborhoods together and 8 sort of have, like, a meeting with all the people

9	that live in that area and say this is what can
10	happen and this is what we may, you know what
11	is your input. You may get 90 percent of the
12	people that say, hey, we don't want it.
13	MR. REED: You know, the other thing you
14	could do is hold a public hearing and just notify
15	the people and the Plan Commission hold a public
16	hearing.
17	MR. CEPON: Have you experienced that or
18	some of your neighbors?
19	MR. SMITH: The only thing I know is most
20	of them just didn't want to pay the sewer
21	assessment going down Florida. You can see it
22	goes it's not going down most of Florida. It
23	bypasses. It goes along the boulevard right of
24	way.

1	MR. SMITH: When I talk to the people out
2	there, the people that are against it are the
3	people who can't divide their property.
4	MR. WINTER: Let me just be more
5	specific. I think Carl referred to the one that
6	Mr. Marcinkas (phonetic) showed up and, he had

7	concerns, and actually I was concerned about the
8	drainage on the lot. You fellows came in and it
9	passed because everybody said Bud's going to
10	follow up on that, whether there is drainage or
11	not. And I kind of spoke up.
12	My only concern was let's continue
13	this and get Bud here so that we don't say we're
14	going to divide this only for you, later on to say
15	you can't build here anyway because there's a
16	creek that goes where Boulevard View would go
17	through.
18	I think it's going to be hard to get
19	a consensus from that neighborhood because
20	essentially it is an older neighborhood, but I
21	think the economics of the area is developing that
22	everyone who looks at that area would say that
23	right now it's probably the land is being
24	underutilized.

1	I think the houses by and large have
2	been bigger in stature and more modern. There are
3	some houses there of the older variety, maybe as

4	old as the 20's. And regardless of I mean
5	what, you know, you could ask four different
6	people that live in that neighborhood and get four
7	different answers.
8	I think maybe we can look at it and
9	say eventually we all know where it's going.
10	There is going to be increased pressure to better
11	utilize that property and start subdividing
12	especially now that they got sewer and water.
13	MR. REED: Well, that was one of the
14	reasons I wanted to meet with the Planning
15	Commission ahead of time. Mr. Bellevage was kind
16	of the driving force to come before you and talk
17	about the area. But I did want you to see the
18	vastness of what you may look at over the course
19	of the next few years just because of the sanitary
20	sewers going in.
21	If Mr. Bellevage had just come and
22	said I'd like to subdivide may property into three
23	lots, you my not really get the magnitude of what
24	may be before you during the course of the next

118

1 five or six years.

2	If it looks reasonable every
3	piece is going to come back before you that's
4	going to be subdivided to R-3. And it will go
5	through the hearing process and then through the
6	subdivision process.
7	I don't want to be telling
8	Mr. Bellevage, sure, let's submit the rezoning for
9	R-3 if there isn't a general perception. You see
10	what the idea is in the area, the number of lots
11	that you may be looking at in the area.
12	MR. PAPP: What would be the motivation
13	to go from for us to go from R-3 on R-2?
14	MR. REED: Motivation?
15	MR. PAPP: Yeah. Okay. Go to smaller
16	lots.
17	MR. REED: Well, I don't know that there
18	is a motivation. That's something you need to
19	answer and not me. It's existing R-2 zoning. The
20	property owner's requesting R-3. The Village is
21	running sanitary sewers throughout the area which
22	will accommodate R-3 zoning. You have a
23	predominant amount of R-3 zoning throughout the
24	community.

1	MR. WILDENBERG: I could think of a
2	couple things you might be able to hang your hat
3	on. If you look at the comprehensive land use
4	plan, it calls for a single family development to
5	occur in that area. Calls for a density factor of
6	between two to four dwelling units per acre. R-3
7	zoning falls within that both of those
8	parameters.
9	It is single family and falls within
10	its parameter.
11	R-3 zoning requires a
12	10,000-square-foot lot on a frontage that's a
13	pretty if you look at our zoning map what's the
14	most predominant single family zoning we have now?
15	It's R-3 or some form of R-3 that might be a
16	planned unit development like we just looked at in
17	the previous subdivision.
18	Providence Oaks is based R-3, but
19	the PUD is actually smaller lots in certain
20	occasions and definitely smaller frontage.
21	MR. PAPP: Which is one of the reasons we
22	have so much traffic here.
23	MR. WILDENBERG: Well, I don't know that
24	you can attribute that much traffic congestion to

1	just our residential generation. We've got a heck
2	of a lot of people coming through this town.
3	MR. PAPP: That's true.
4	MR. WINTER: Bud, how wide is Florida
5	Avenue?
6	MR. REED: How wide?
7	MR. WINTER: That street seems to be
8	pretty darn narrow.
9	MR. REED: It's probably 22 feet wide.
10	You get the misconception because it doesn't have
11	curbs and gutters and storms, but it's actually a
12	pretty reasonably wide street. You can get two
13	cars through it without any problem.
14	MR. WINTER: Maybe it's just the crowned
15	and beat up because I go down that street
16	every day off of Green Bay to go to Spaulding
17	School, and there's some little kid riding his
18	bike right on the street. He was very young. I
19	thought that so I drove by this property. And
20	I guess my I could understand, you know, the
21	petitions come in and say this is an economic
22	hardship, you know.
23	I live on an 80-foot lot. So do

121

1	do 80 feet? The only reason I go back to that I'd
2	say you couldn't is because there's no sidewalks.
3	Seems to me that that street, you know, if I
4	measure Boulevard View or the one that runs into
5	my subdivision, I'd be very surprised if they
6	aren't bigger because you can park on my street.
7	You can't park on Florida.
8	MR. REED: And that's something that you
9	really need to think about. Should we be
10	collecting impact fees for all the public
11	improvements in situations where it's not feasible
12	for a property owner to put them in? Again, I'll
13	fall back to Mr. Bellevage and Mr. Piansay both.
14	By all rights if they were a
15	subdivider and doing a major subdivision that
16	doesn't put in all the public improvements the
17	fact that they're doing a smaller subdivision
18	doesn't relieve them from doing that. Past
19	practice of the Village has relieved them from

20

doing all the public improvements.

21	Now, we've been gradually moving
22	toward taking impact fees from smaller developers
23	because it's, like you say, Brian, you put three
24	lots here and three lots there and three lots

1	there, and before long you got a street done.
2	If you collect the impact fees you
3	can come back in five years and do Country Club or
4	come back in five years and do Florida. You can
5	do it the same way you're doing the sidewalk. You
6	do a piece at a time until you get it done. You
7	do your major streets first. Those that carry the
8	most traffic and then move off into the
9	neighborhood.
10	It's very realistic to think you may
11	want to look at that. You may want to make a
12	recommendation to the Village Board that they
13	accept these for all types of public improvements,
14	not just stormwater management and sidewalks.
15	That's basically all we're doing right now.
16	MR. SMITH: In general, I think it would
17	upscale that neighborhood. A lot of those homes
18	were built a lot of years ago, and the size of the

19	homes are small, so the value of the homes are
20	lower.
21	Now, the homes that would have to be
22	built there under our current regulation would be
23	of a lot more value than a lot of homes there.
24	So it would actually bring the

1	values of that neighborhood up in general, the
2	whole area. A lot of them are older homes.
3	They're on 1,000 square feet some of them houses.
4	MR. REED: Just as an example, in this
5	area right over by Northwestern here a developer
6	came in, and I think he put in about 12 lots,
7	maybe 14. It's on Atlantic. He put in 14 lots
8	fully improved, all the municipal requirements.
9	Yet if he would have went in and did
10	three lots at a time he would have fallen out of
11	generally fallen out of purview to have to put
12	in full street improvements. He would have still
13	had to do detention. He'd have been paying for
14	detention, and he would have been paying for the
15	sidewalks, and we would have used the money at

16	another place.
17	That made more sense to us instead
18	of in the subdivision itself. Three-lot
19	subdivisions to put detention on three lots and
20	take one of the lots whereas if you do a ten-lot
21	subdivision, you can still use one lot.
22	So it doesn't make sense to have a
23	three-lot subdivision and put in detention. Let's
24	take the money and use it someplace else that's
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	124
1	more regional. So that's what we've been trying
2	to do.
3	MR. CEPON: Could we get a copy of this
4	on your next minutes? This?
5	MR. REED: Sure.
6	MR. CEPON: That would actually be quite
7	useful if we kept it if we get into this
8	situation. And it looks like we're going to get
9	into it.
10	MR. REED: Now, this is just the first
11	blush. In the course of ten minutes I just went
12	through this. So some may be right and some may
13	be wrong, but basically these properties are the

14	ones that I would expect to slide into R-3.
15	MR. CEPON: This would give us a good
16	idea.
17	MR. SULA: When you looked at, you know
18	north of Florida versus south of Florida, the
19	south of Florida given the grid system that's in
20	place, it's really conducive to traditional R-3 in
21	terms of a lot where the frontage is narrower than
22	the depth of the lot. And where you're north of
23	Florida it's that space where R-2 works really
24	well because you've got lot of depth there too.

1	But when you're south of Florida to
2	get the R-2 square footage you end up with odd
3	lots where the width is almost as great if not
4	greater than the depth of the lot which is kind of
5	an untraditional residential layout.
6	MR. SMITH: Take the area where I live.
7	We went through this thirty years ago. There
8	wasn't as many homes built there west of 41, 41
9	and Delaney. The sewers come through. That was
10	all platted.

11	I lived on a lot that was 40-foot of
12	this lot and 40-foot of this lot. That's 80 feet.
13	That's what I live on. My whole area was done
14	that way. There is more 80-foot lots than there
15	are 120's.
16	MR. REED: And when you go through the
17	rezoning process you're going to have people
18	complaining. There's no doubt about it. There
19	will be complaints about it. That's really the
20	reason for this meeting, so you can actually see
21	the comprehensive area, not just Mr. Bellevage's
22	property or Mr. Piansay's property. Because they
23	can really take you out of context and not get the
24	whole feel of what may actually come back before

126

you.
MR. SULA: I think as long as we're
sticking with the pure R-3 concept of 10,000
minimum, that's a pretty typical suburban size
lot. It's not asking for something that's overly
dense that's, you know, grossly changing the
character of neighborhood.

MR. WINTER: I think that, Frank, as far

9	as traffic because this is kind of set off I
10	mean you got Green Bay to the east, Grand Avenue
11	to the south, Sunset to the north, it really
12	doesn't have that I mean it's pretty set in
13	stone what the traffic's going to be.
14	I really think, though, that the
15	problem is within the neighborhood, you know,
16	without the sidewalks. So I'd be for it, but I
17	want to make sure that when they divide these
18	things up they are spending the money, it's going
19	to happen, you know, sometime down the road but
20	that the Village has the money and is committed to
21	say, okay, we're going to put sidewalks in here
22	because now we've got twice as many houses on this
23	block and potentially twice as many kids. I think
24	it's a public safety issue at that point then to

- 1 say we've got to have sidewalks going down at
- 2 least one side of the street and have the people
- 3 that are getting the economic benefit of
- 4 subdividing pay their fair share of the
- 5 improvements that would be necessary so that they

6	could utilize their property.
7	MR. REED: But would it make sense if we
8	give the developer the opportunity to put
9	sidewalks in? Such as Mr. Piansay is right
10	adjacent to a sidewalk, so he has had the
11	opportunity put the sidewalk in if he develops the
12	property.
13	MR. WINTER: But I'm wondering when you
14	started talking about maybe there's an expansion
15	of benefits maybe if you look at this and there is
16	a formula that you could come up with so we know
17	we're collecting the same amount per the
18	formula
19	MR. REED: It's an inflated value. We do
20	a sidewalk project every year in the community,
21	and we use that dollar amount for any developer
22	that's coming in doing single lot subdivisions.
23	If you have an existing home we don't assess the
24	existing home.

128

But if you have a lot and an

existing home that you're valued on, the vacant

lot will be assessed for stormwater management and

4	for sidewalk, but the existing home isn't
5	assessed.
6	MR. SULA: What about impacts fees. Do
7	the extra lots get assessed impact fees and get
8	paid to the school districts?
9	MR. REED: No.
10	MR. WINTER: That's why I'm saying his
11	impact fees for the sidewalks and improvements, I
12	think, is a fair deal for those property owners
13	instead of because when you say we can let them
14	put their sidewalk in, I almost want to back off
15	that now because, like you say, what good is it
16	going to be to have the sidewalk for three lots?
17	MR. REED: Right.
18	MR. WINTER: I'd just as soon make sure
19	we're collecting the right amount of money to make
20	some substantial improvements that are going to
21	make sense.
22	MR. SULA: You can ask five or six
23	different people what they think or where they
24	want to put sidewalks and half of them are going

1	to say
2	MR. WINTER: Not only that, my
3	subdivision they're only on one-half of the
4	street.
5	MR. SULA: I like it. It's a less
6	MR. REED: It provides there's
7	benefits to both.
8	I mean, if you have kids, you like
9	to have a sidewalk in some cases where even if you
10	have kids you like to have the expanse of knowing
11	that or just looking out and you have what
12	appears to be a much larger yard than what you
13	actually have.
14	You get the estate feeling if you
15	want to look at that way without the sidewalk.
16	And with the sidewalk it's more of an urban line.
17	MR. WINTER: Well, I see a problem with
18	making a guy put three on this side. If you're
19	only going to end up having that sidewalk on one
20	side, you've got to do it where you're taking the
21	fees in and the Village is going to come in and
22	make that decision and put it because you might
23	have on some of those streets a guy puts three
24	lots on this side.

1	This guy says it's cheaper for me
2	I'll put two lots on the other side. So now you
3	have this disjointed sidewalk on both sides that
4	goes nowhere.
5	MR. REED: And that's part of the reason
6	we've been collecting money.
7	MR. WINTER: That's why you're going to
8	have to basically say you don't have the option.
9	Here's what the formula is, and it's the same
10	thing I quoted to John Doe, Jane Doe, and it's the
11	same one. You guys are paying the same inflated
12	
13	MR. REED: We have the capacity to adjust
14	it.
15	MR. WINTER: Where I live in Country
16	Trails, the side of the street I live on doesn't
17	have sidewalks. Well, guess what, the guy next
18	door has one on both sides. So my next-door
19	neighbor put a sidewalk that ends at our lot line.
20	I mean it's goofy.
21	MR. SULA: But this would even be worse.
22	MR. WINTER: Oh, yeah, when you get three
23	or four in a row

MR. CEPON: We ran into that on the east

1	side when we were going through this about seven
2	or eight or nine years ago.
3	MR. REED: It's not always consistent.
4	We've actually turned things around. In the new
5	subdivisions we're requiring with sidewalks now on
6	both sides again because the Village is collecting
7	money for sidewalks, so why shouldn't we have then
8	put in new developments. That's almost uniformly
9	done in any major new development. But the
10	smaller ones are still collecting the money.
11	We do have a comprehensive map that
12	we're working on.
13	MR. CEPON: That's the best idea to
14	collect the money. Because I remember on the east
15	side here we did the same thing, and then we had
16	certain streets that had sidewalks, and certain
17	streets didn't have it. Certain streets that had
18	more traffic than others, I could understand
19	that.
20	With the kids on those streets
21	and there is a lot of traffic in that area for the
22	amount of people that are there, you know, it's

- you get quite a bit of traffic. It's not like you
- 24 have in the subdivisions where all streets,

132

1	they're all going someplace, to the schools,
2	whatever.
3	MR. SULA: I think the Village should
4	look into impact fees on the schools.
5	MR. WINTER: Well, we don't worry about
6	the schools.
7	MR. REED: That's something
8	MR. SULA: I know that's beyond our
9	scope.
10	MR. REED: The subdivider will approach
11	the schools, and the schools will think that's not
12	going to have that much of an impact. But at
13	least they'll address it with the particular
14	builder or subdivider at that time.
15	MR. WINTER: But, I think we're really at
16	the point where I think we'd all be interested in
17	to see what you're proposing in terms of when
18	petitioners come in and want to have a formal
19	hearing, and it potentially could be twenty people

in the next ten years or thirty people or whatever

l pretty confident	21
e same way. It's	22
tty much lay it out.	23
recommendation will	24
e same way. It's tty much lay it out.	22 23

1	come to you very similar to what they have in the
2	past. I guess the only thing that would really be
3	a question anymore would be how you're going to
4	handle requests for waivers which typically come
5	in on these. I don't discourage people from doing
6	that.
7	Again, it's up to the Plan
8	Commission and then finally up to the Village
9	Board how the waivers are handled. But the other
10	thing that will be probably discussed and should
11	be discussed at your level first would be how do
12	you handle the street improvements. That's
13	something we don't collect for.
14	MR. WINTER: I would say for the benefit
15	of the folks and by all means if you've got any
16	questions or want to make any statements to us
17	my view would be this, that I think that I'd be

18	very reluctant to pass on a recommendation to the
19	Board of Trustees for waiver. I don't even know
20	that we've discussed it.
21	MR. WILDENBERG: If I could give maybe a
22	little bit more history on that. They will at the
23	time it's not an issue that's addressed
24	necessarily at rezoning. Rezoning is just a

1	question of land use. It doesn't tie directly
2	into the public improvement question. You address
3	the public improvement question directly at the
4	time of plat of subdivision, resubdivision of the
5	property.
6	Over the years when we've had
7	smaller subdivisions, two- or three-lot
8	subdivisions come in, we had a common operating
9	policy or practice that we would consider waivers
10	if the subdivision was small enough and it didn't
11	really connect up with another area or didn't
12	require public improvements or it didn't make
13	logical sense to tie in with a larger program we
14	had in mind for the future. Some waivers were
15	granted.

16	But there were other instances in
17	this northeast area, too, where somebody took a
18	full block and subdivided it out, and full
19	improvements were required.
20	So you've got to kind of look at
21	them on a case-by-case basis but do the things
22	you're doing, go the over all the game plan in
23	mind that you are looking at those individual
24	cases so that we can be consistent. That's the
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	135
1	whole key to the operation.
2	MR. SULA: The one on O'Plaine and
3	Belvidere is a good example.
4	MR. WINTER: Yeah. We didn't waive that.
5	MR. SULA: No. He's going to have to put
6	in improvements.
7	MR. WINTER: Because of the lateness of
8	the hour unless you folks have any questions of us
9	I think
10	MR. REED: Can I make one more comment
11	while I'm here.
12	MR. WINTER: Sure.

13	MR. REED: Very seldom will I stay here
14	for a zoning issue, and it's not because I don't
15	like you guys. I don't want you to think that at
16	all.
17	You tend to get into or the audience
18	tends to get into engineering issues or into
19	rezoning. I want you to know that I don't like to
20	get into engineering issues of rezoning. And if
21	they do, if the petitioner or Board wants to get
22	involved in that it should be their engineer that
23	discusses those issues because I can assure you
24	that they'll meet all of our guidelines. That's

136

1	required when they come into the subdivision
2	process. And that's the time that I'm always here
3	or somebody from my staff.
4	So don't think it's not that I
5	don't want to spend time with you. I just prefer
6	not to be here during zoning issues.
7	MR. SMITH: You get paid by the hour?
8	MR. REED: Well, I do, but it's 24 hours.
9	MR. WINTER: Bud, we do appreciate you

sticking around and helping us out on this issue.

11	MR. WILDENBERG: We'll get copies of the
12	latest version of the sewer extension and lot maps
13	so we can have that to study.
14	MR. WINTER: Is there a motion to
15	adjourn?
16	MR. PAPP: I make that motion.
17	MR. SULA: Second.
18	MR. WINTER: Motion passes.
19	(Hearing concluded)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	PILAR COURT REPORTING SERVICE (847) 336-5220
	137

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS:
2	COUNTY OF MCHENRY)
3	
4	
5	I, VIRGINIA A. GAISER, CSR, do hereby
6	certify that I am a court reporter doing business
7	in the County of McHenry and State of Illinois;

8	that I reported in shorthand the testimony given
9	in the foregoing Report of Proceedings; and that
10	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
11	my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
12	
13	
14	Vincinio A. Coicon CSD
15	Virginia A. Gaiser, CSR License No. 084-003887
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	